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New horizons of Flaubert: from a barber-surgeon to a modern trauma surgeon

Kun Hwang, MD
Department of Plastic Surgery, Inha University College of Medicine, Incheon, Korea

Ambroise Paré (1510–1590), a French barber-surgeon, is considered to have been a pioneer in the treatment of battlefield traumatic wounds. He reintroduced ligature of arteries, which had been introduced by Celsus and Galen, instead of cauterization during amputation [1].

However, medieval trauma surgeons, including Paré, did not have the legal right to treat diseases, which was the territory of physicians. Barber-surgeons were legally permitted to treat wounds, fractures, syphilis, cataracts, and gangrene. They pulled teeth and shaved, washed, and cut hair. However, the domain of diseases belonged to physicians. The ancestors of today’s medical practitioners are not university-trained, Latin-speaking medieval pedants, but humble, apprentice-trained barber-surgeons, craftsmen, and members of guilds, who provided most of the medical personnel for hospitals and armies until the 19th century [2].

The widespread introduction of firearms during the 16th century radically altered the landscape of conventional warfare in Europe. Extensive soft tissue damage, contamination from embedded projectiles, and the need for limb amputations increased dramatically. In the 16th century, the barber’s office, as a craft guild system, controlled the training of barbers and the establishment of barber shops. In the 17th century, the craft organization provided surgeons for the Army and the Navy [3].

In 1810, a French surgeon named Achille Cléophas Flaubert, better known as father of the writer Gustave Flaubert, submitted a historical dissertation (Fig. 1) [4]. We can see his confidence in the competition between surgeons (chirurghiens) and physicians (médecins). According to his work, surgeons are at a superior or at least similar level to physicians.

One becomes a true surgeon—who shows his excellence when carrying out the maneuvers necessary to perform an operation that requires precise knowledge of anatomy, dexterity of the hand, finesse with almost every sense, and strength of spirit—only by drawing upon his precious expertise, unifying the skills and knowledge of a physiologist with those of a doctor, to consider the general temperament of the patient, the specific temperament of his or her organs, and the influence of any factor that could be related to the patient’s illness, and to seek out and apply—
both before and after the operation—every possible way of achieving a successful outcome. Only then does one deserve the name of a surgeon or an operating physician; such a person unifies two sciences, medicine and surgery, that naturally go hand in hand with each other and weaken and falter as soon as they are separated. If anyone is still a partisan of the viewpoint that these two great branches of healing should be separated, let them look at the responsibilities of a surgeon and judge accordingly. The surgeon’s role encompasses the periods before, during, and after the operation—in the first stage, he must be a physician; in the second stage, a surgeon; and in the third, he becomes a surgeon again. (Translated by Andrew Dombrowski, PhD).

As a trauma surgeon, I absolutely agree with the preface of Flaubert’s dissertation. A good surgeon should be a good physician with some extra skills. Specifically, a good surgeon needs to learn how to operate, when to operate, and when not to operate. In addition to thorough knowledge and practical skills, a good surgeon is also expected to have common sense, which enables him or her to make sound practical judgments. In my opinion, a good trauma surgeon must first be a good surgeon, and a good surgeon must first be a good physician [5].
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Penetrating neck injuries are a surgical challenge. In particular, penetrating neck injuries associated with carotid artery injuries have a high mortality rate. Overt external hemorrhage is unanimously considered as an indication for surgical exploration. The authors present a case of successful surgical management for a penetrating common carotid artery injury using a Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt (LeMaitre Vascular Inc., Burlington, MA, USA) in a 60-year-old male patient who was transferred to the level 1 trauma center due to a metal fragment piercing his neck while working. Active pulsatile bleeding was observed from the 3-cm-long external wound on the anterior neck in zone II. Emergent neck exploration showed near-total transection of the left common carotid artery just below the carotid bifurcation. After a Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt was applied to the injured carotid artery as a temporary vascular shunt, artificial graft interposition was performed for the injured common carotid artery. The patient experienced cerebral infarction as a complication caused by ischemia-reperfusion of the common carotid artery but was discharged in a suitable state for rehabilitation therapy.
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A 60-year-old male patient was transferred to the regional trauma center due to a metal fragment piercing his neck while working. Active pulsatile bleeding was observed from the 3-cm-long external wound on the anterior neck in zone II. Emergent neck exploration showed near-total transection of the left common carotid artery just below the carotid bifurcation. After a Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt was applied to the injured carotid artery as a temporary vascular shunt, artificial graft interposition was performed for the injured common carotid artery. The patient experienced cerebral infarction as a complication caused by ischemia-reperfusion of the common carotid artery but was discharged in a suitable state for rehabilitation therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Penetrating neck injuries are a surgical challenge because of the anatomical complexity of this region. The neck contains many important communicating structures between the head and torso, including blood vessels, the aerodigestive tract, vertebrae, and the spinal cord in a small area. In particular, penetrating neck injuries associated with carotid artery injuries are known to have a high mortality rate [1–3]. With the recent advent of selective nonoperative management for penetrating neck injuries, neck exploration is not always mandatory [3–5]. However, overt external hemorrhage is unanimously considered to be an indication for surgical exploration. Here, we present a case of successful surgical management for a penetrating common carotid artery (CCA) injury caused by a metal fragment using a Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt (LeMaitre Vascular Inc., Burlington, MA, USA) in a 60-year-old male patient.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Gachon University Gil Medical Center, Gachon University College of Medicine, Incheon, Korea (No. GDIRB 2022-142). The patient provided informed consent for publication of the research details and clinical images.

CASE REPORT

A 60-year-old male patient was transferred to the regional trauma center due to a metal fragment piercing his neck while working. Active pulsatile bleeding was observed from the 3-cm-long external wound on the anterior neck in zone II. Emergent neck exploration showed near-total transection of the left common carotid artery just below the carotid bifurcation. After a Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt was applied to the injured carotid artery as a temporary vascular shunt, artificial graft interposition was performed for the injured common carotid artery. The patient experienced cerebral infarction as a complication caused by ischemia-reperfusion of the common carotid artery but was discharged in a suitable state for rehabilitation therapy.
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ma center of Gachon University Gil Medical Center due to a metal fragment piercing his neck while working. Active pulsatile bleeding was observed from a 3-cm-long external wound on the anterior neck (zone II). Endotracheal intubation was performed immediately with manual compression using the second finger. The initial vital signs were a blood pressure of 81/59 mmHg, a heart rate of 51 beats/min, a respiratory rate of 26 breaths/min, and a temperature of 35.6°C. The initial SpO₂ was 96%. His mental status was semicomatose.

A decision was made to perform emergent neck exploration. An oblique skin incision was made along the left sternocleidomastoid muscle. Neck exploration showed near-total transection of the left CCA just below the carotid bifurcation. The left internal jugular vein was also transected. A Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt was applied immediately to the injured carotid artery as a temporary vascular shunt (Figs. 1, 2). A proximal and distal occlusion balloon (blue and white) were inserted into the CCA and internal carotid artery (ICA), respectively. After applying the Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt as a temporary vascular shunt, each end of the transected arteries was trimmed. After trimming each end, interposition of a 3-cm-long polytetrafluoroethylene graft (8-mm diameter) was performed because the remaining ends were too short for re-anastomosis (Fig. 3). The transected internal jugular vein was ligated. A metal fragment (1.5 × 0.5 × 0.5 cm) was identified and removed from the neck (Fig. 4). Computed tomography (CT) angiography for the brain and neck was performed 1 day after the operation (Fig. 5A). The repaired carotid artery was patent (Fig. 5A). There were no abnormal findings of the brain parenchyma on CT (Fig. 5B). However, an acute infarction with diffusion restriction in the left middle-cerebral artery territory and right frontal lobe was identified on brain diffusion magnetic resonance imaging that was performed at 3 days postoperatively (Fig. 6). Aspirin and mannitol were administered for the cerebral infarction thereafter. The patient was transferred to the Department of Neurology for management of the cerebral infarction. The Korean National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score was 23 points at this time. He was discharged to another hospital for rehabilitation on the 15th postoperative day, with an improved NIHSS score (13 points).

DISCUSSION

The treatment of penetrating neck injuries has changed from
mandatory neck exploration to selective nonoperative management [3–5] due to advances in diagnostic modalities. This approach prevents unnecessary complications accompanying mandatory neck exploration [3–5]. Emergent neck exploration is indicated if there are overt symptoms or signs, including airway compromise, massive subcutaneous emphysema, active bleeding, shock, stroke, and expanding hematoma [3–5]. This patient experienced massive external bleeding with shock, which was an indication for emergent surgery.

A penetrating injury to zone II can cause a major vascular injury in up to 50% of cases [6]. Injury of the ICA or CCA is associated with high rates of mortality and central neurologic deficits [1,7].

Previous reports described poorer outcomes for the ligation of ICA or CCA injuries than for the repair of ICA or CCA injuries [3,8–14]. Patients who underwent ligation had higher rates of mortality (22% vs. 10%) and stroke (88.9% vs. 33.3%) than those who underwent repair [3]. Therefore, if possible, repair of the injured carotid artery is recommended rather than ligation [3].

More than 30 studies have analyzed the use of a temporary vascular shunt during the operation [3,15]. Nonetheless, there is still no definite indication for using a temporary vascular shunt during surgery, because most of the studies were small case series.
The largest retrospective study was published by Asensio et al. [3] in 2020. Their study was conducted using 32 articles performed between 1960 and 2018 [3]. The researchers found 973 patients with penetrating ICA and CCA injuries, of whom 136 (14%) underwent ligation and were excluded from the analysis [3]. Of the remaining 837 patients, 126 (15.1%) were treated with shunts (WS) and 711 (84.9%) without shunts (WOS) [3]. They concluded that patients with penetrating ICA and CCA injuries repaired with temporary shunts had a slightly lower mortality rate (WS, 5.6% vs. WOS, 11.1%; P = 0.058) and similar or unchanged neurological outcomes versus those repaired without shunts (neurological improvement rate: WS, 14.2% vs. WOS, 13.7%; P = 0.8; neurological worsening rate: WS, 3.4% vs. WOS, 9.0%; P = 0.038) [3]. Summarizing the above results, a temporary intraoperative shunt is recommended for patients requiring complex vascular anastomosis. A temporary shunt should be applied according to the situation. For a tiny injury that can be repaired simply and primarily, primary repair without a shunt is considered first. However, according to our experiences, most carotid artery injuries are accompanied by atheromatous changes of the arteries and severe dissection of the intima. We think that the high pressure of the CCA coming directly from the aorta may inflict additional damage. We usually perform segmental resection and anastomosis in a short segmental injury with clean endothelium, but this patient had a long segmental injury and dirty atheromatous endothelium. We resected extensively to see a clean and healthy endothelium and interposed the graft. Therefore, surgeons should always consider using a shunt if a procedure becomes complicated.

The Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt is commonly used for carotid endarterectomy. There is no consensus on which shunt is best. There are two occlusion balloons in the Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt, which prevent additional vascular injury with no need for a vascular clamp. Identification of backflow is possible due to the presence of the middle channel in the Pruitt-F3 Carotid Shunt. We think that this shunt is usable for most peripheral artery injuries, including those affecting the carotid and femoral arteries.

The latest and largest study supports using a temporary shunt [3]; therefore, we decided to use a temporary vascular shunt during the operation. However, in our case, the patient did not avoid cerebral infarction as a complication of carotid injury, which may have been due to the ischemic time.

In conclusion, emergent surgery is mandatory for cases of a penetrating neck injury with overt signs. Repair of the injured CCA should be considered first if it is possible. The use of a temporary vascular shunt during the operation is recommended for penetrating carotid artery injuries, depending on the intraoperative circumstances.
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INTRODUCTION

Abdominal trauma can be categorized as blunt and penetrating trauma. In blunt abdominal trauma, the liver is the most commonly damaged organ [1]. Until 30 years ago, exploratory laparotomy was performed for blunt abdominal trauma if there was a possibility of damage to the solid organs. Subsequent advances in diagnostic techniques and radiologic interventions have led to the development of nonoperative management (NOM) as an important treatment approach for blunt abdominal trauma [2]. However, penetrating abdominal trauma caused by stab and gunshot wounds still require surgical treatment, despite the developments in NOM [3,4].

Stab and gunshot wounds are the main causes of penetrating abdominal trauma in the United States and many countries worldwide. However, in Asian countries, where access to fire-
arms is not legal, clinicians lack experience in treating penetrating abdominal trauma [5–7]. In Korea, only a limited number of medical staff at trauma centers have experience in treating penetrating abdominal trauma, particularly wounds (e.g., gunshot wounds) that are caused by abdominal penetration of foreign bodies [6]. Additionally, there are limited reports in the literature describing penetration of metal fragments into the liver due to blast effects of explosions in factories; as described in this case report, such wounds are unlike typical gunshot wounds. Therefore, we present a rare case of penetrating liver injury caused by metal fragments released in an explosion accident.

This case report was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center (No. DSMC 2021-10-015). Data were collected and analyzed in an ethical manner while protecting the patient's right to privacy. The requirement for informed consent was waived because this was a retrospective study conducted using medical records.

**CASE REPORT**

A 27-year-old male patient was admitted to the hospital with wounds caused by multiple metal fragments that became lodged at his abdomen while pressing metals in a factory. The patient was a worker in an automobile parts factory and performed the task of pressing large pieces of metals with a high-pressure compression machine (Video S1). The patient had no notable medical and surgical history. On the day of the accident, the metal exploded and multiple fragments of the metal lodged in the patient's abdomen. He visited a local private clinic close to the factory immediately after the accident. The metal fragments were widely distributed over the abdomen and limited to the subcutaneous layer. Most of the fragments were removed at the local private clinic. However, one metal fragment near the right upper quadrant (RUQ) had penetrated the abdominal wall and entered the peritoneal cavity. This fragment could not be removed at the local clinic, and the patient was transferred to Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center. In the emergency room, the patient was clearly conscious and complained of slight epigastric pain. Approximately 10 or more anterior abdominal penetrating wounds less than 1 cm in size were distributed throughout the abdomen (Fig. 1). All abdominal wounds except the penetrating 1-cm wound near the RUQ area were in the subcutaneous layer. Metal fragments were removed from these wounds. For local wound exploration, forceps were inserted into the penetrating wound, approximately 5 cm deep, at an oblique angle into the abdominal cavity. The skin margins of this penetrating wound were similar to those of a laceration wound from a char burn. An abdominal physical examination revealed mild pain and tenderness in the upper abdomen due to multiple wounds. However, the abdomen was soft and flat with no abdominal distention or rebound tenderness.

At the time of admission, the patient's vital signs were relatively stable, with a temperature of 36.8°C, heart rate of 88 beats/min, blood pressure of 130/70 mmHg, respiratory rate of 20 breaths/min, and oxygen saturation of 99%. His hemoglobin level, platelet count, prothrombin time, and activated partial thromboplastin time were 14.6 g/dL, 321.0 × 10^3 cells/µL, 11.4 seconds, and 28.2 seconds, respectively. Arterial blood gas anal-

![Fig. 1. Abdominal external wound. (A) Abdominal external penetrating wound (star) and other external wound (circles) where the foreign body had already been removed at the local clinic. (B) External penetrating wound in the right upper quadrant of the abdomen observed in the emergency room.](https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2021.0085)
ysis showed a pH of 7.372, an HCO$_3^-$ level of 29.9 mmol/L, and a lactic acid level of 0.7 mmol/L. No abdominal findings were observed in other laboratory examinations. Abdominal radiography revealed an area of radiopaque material measuring 1 cm in the RUQ (Fig. 2A). Similarly, abdominal computed tomography (CT) showed a 1-cm dense radiopaque material in the subhepatic area near the lesser sac. No free intraperitoneal air was observed (Fig. 2B). Injuries to the subcutaneous layer, muscle, and peritoneum from the abdominal wall under the RUQ wound were observed. Minimal hemoperitoneum was observed; however, no active hemorrhage signs, such as contrast extravasation, were noted. According to the emergency reports of the CT scans, hollow viscus injuries could not be completely excluded. No other findings of organ damage in the abdominal cavity were observed, and chest CT showed no abnormal findings. The patient was notified of the findings, and after discussion, exploratory laparoscopy was conducted to remove the metal fragment.

The patient had stable vital signs with no active hemorrhage, and the preoperative evaluation showed no damage to the gastrointestinal tract in the peritoneal cavity. However, we decided to perform surgery to completely rule out the possibility of injury to other organs and the removal of a foreign body that could cause infection. We thought that the laparoscopic approach, as a less invasive surgical procedure, would be able to remove the foreign body and identify injuries in the surrounding organs. Thus, the treatment decision was made after full consultation with the patient. Exploratory laparoscopy was conducted to accurately locate and remove the presumed metal fragment under the liver, on the side of the gallbladder, and near the duodenum. The peritoneal cavity contained approximately 500 mL of hemoperitoneum, which was more than that observed on CT; however, no significant active bleeding was observed (Fig. 3A, B). In the laparoscopic visual field, oozing of blood was observed in the RUQ penetrating wound of the damaged peritoneum, with blood flowing down the peritoneum (Fig. 1B, 3C). An approximately 1-cm laceration on the anterior surface of the liver caused by foreign body penetration was observed; however, there was no bleeding from the surface into the abdominal cavity (Fig. 3D). Although abdominal CT images and laparoscopic exploration findings were continuously compared during surgery, the metal fragment could not be found. There are many difficulties in finding foreign bodies using laparoscopic devices without palpating them directly with one’s hands. Furthermore, compared to open laparotomy, the laparoscopic approach has limitations in the range of vision. Thus, conversion to open laparotomy was performed to identify the metal fragment. After confirming that there was no damage to the entire hollow viscus and major vessels, solid organ exploration was conducted, and a hard and small mass was found between the falciform ligament and gallbladder in the quadrate lobe of the liver (Fig. 3D). Before conversion to open laparotomy, the possibility of performing C-arm fluoroscopy or intraopera-
Active radiography was discussed. We considered using C-arm fluoroscopy after open conversion. However, the foreign body was discovered immediately after converting to an open procedure; therefore, additional evaluation tools such as C-arm fluoroscopy were not applied. The metal fragment from the explosion accident had penetrated the abdominal wall and the liver and was completely lodged in segment 4, the quadrate lobe to the left of the gallbladder. A 2-cm incision was made on the liver surface, where the metal fragment was found, to remove the fragment (Fig. 4). The bleeding stopped after extraction and hemostasis, and primary closure of the liver was performed using black silk 3-0 sutures. A 2-cm incision was made on a lacerated wound on the anterior surface, and primary closure was performed with black braided silk 3-0 sutures after irrigation and hemostasis. No damage was observed in the gallbladder, hilum of the liver, or other solid organs. After removal of the metal fragment, intraoperative abdominal radiography was performed to confirm that the radiopaque material seen on the abdominal CT scan and radiographs before surgery was the metal fragment. To evaluate bile leakage or bleeding at the surgical site, a Jackson-Pratt silicone round channel drain was placed in the right subhepatic area, and the abdomen was closed layer-by-layer. Surgery was terminated. The patient’s general postoperative

![Fig. 3. Findings of exploratory laparoscopy. (A) Moderate amount of hemoperitoneum with a penetrating liver injury. (B) Hemoperitoneum in the pelvic cavity. (C) Depth of the penetrating wound to the intra-abdominal cavity. In the direction of the arrow, a metal fragment penetrated the surface of the liver and entered the inside. (D) The liver with a penetrating injury at the left side of the gallbladder. The arrow indicates the location of the metal fragment, although it was invisible from the outside.](https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2021.0085)

![Fig. 4. Findings upon conversion to open laparotomy. (A) After liver incision and metal fragment extraction. (B) Metal fragment in the liver.](https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2021.0085)
condition was satisfactory, with no findings of bile leakage or bleeding. His diet progressed smoothly after the operation, and he recovered without postoperative ileus. The wound with the metal fragment and the main operative wound healed without surgical site infection.

**DISCUSSION**

Trauma severity may vary depending on the damaged organ. Organs that are commonly affected by explosive effects include the liver, kidney, and spleen. The severity of gunshot wounds depends on the mechanism and velocity of the accident in terms of energy strength [8,9]. The patient in our study suffered a penetrating injury caused by a metal fragment emitted with a high-velocity blast effect. The metal fragment pierced the abdominal wall and lodged in the liver. In penetrating intraperitoneal injuries, surgery is not the primary choice for the direct removal of the foreign body unless the foreign body is directly in the surgical field or view or causes life-threatening bleeding [10,11]. Moreover, active identification and removal of the foreign body can be avoided if the material causes compressive effects or is difficult to remove [12]. However, Gupta et al. [10] reported that under certain circumstances, a foreign body may cause intestinal obstruction. The purpose and principle of exploratory laparotomy should be to control life-threatening injuries such as bleeding and bowel perforation rather than to remove foreign bodies [13].

In the past, exploratory laparotomy has been considered the standard practice for penetrating abdominal trauma, such as that caused by gunshot and stab wounds. However, more recently, liver trauma has been treated according to the World Society of Emergency Surgery liver trauma management guidelines (Fig. 5) [3]. The absolute requirements for NOM are hemodynamic stability and the absence of damage to other related organs. Irrespective of the nature of the trauma injury (blunt or penetrating), NOM is recommended in hemodynamically stable patients who do not require surgery. Nonoperative treatment has been established in a selected population of patients on the basis of the condition and injury mechanisms, and many studies have evaluated the safety of NOM [14]. However, other studies have stated that NOM is not adequate for approximately 90% of high-energy gunshot wounds and other ballistic injuries [3,4]. Therefore, accurate diagnosis and follow-up of injuries are important when choosing NOM for penetrating abdominal trauma. On the basis of the operative findings, the patient in our study had stable vital signs, no abnormalities in hematological examinations, and no damage to other related organs requiring surgery. However, we had to completely eliminate the possibility of infection caused by the retained foreign body and adequately confirm the absence of injury to the surrounding organs from the penetrating injury; therefore, operative treatment was performed. Laparoscopy, a minimally invasive technique, historically did not play a major role in the treatment of abdominal trauma, especially penetrating abdominal trauma. However, the development of laparoscopy techniques and improvements in surgeons’ skills has enhanced the usefulness of exploratory laparoscopy [15]. Exploratory laparoscopy can yield satisfactory outcomes, including a shorter period of hospitalization as well as reduced wound pain and morbidity from laparoscopy. Although patients’ vital signs and condition must be stable for exploratory laparoscopy, interval exploratory laparoscopy may be effective during NOM for abdominal trauma [3,13]. This technique provides important information on the progression and aggravation of the injury and can be used as a bridge strategy for subsequent laparoscopy or laparotomy after confirming other intraperitoneal organ injuries, including liver and hollow viscus injuries. Laparoscopy allows intra-abdominal observation and lavage, including an evaluation of bleeding, an assessment of the amount of bleeding, and evacuation of intraperitoneal hematoma. Although laparotomy was performed for the patient in this case, the patient had stable vital signs and no signs of peritonitis. Moreover, the metal fragment was located near the lesser sac in the peritoneal cavity, facilitating easy removal by laparoscopy. Additionally, exploration of damage to the liver, duodenum, and transverse colon around the lesser sac was feasible through laparoscopy.

Unlike in many Western countries, blunt trauma is more commonly observed than penetrating trauma in Asian countries. In particular, penetrating trauma caused by gunshot wounds has rarely been reported in these countries. In Korea, rare cases of penetrating trauma have been reported at some trauma centers [6,7]. Penetrating abdominal trauma is often life-threatening, and the injury can progress. Therefore, these injuries must be carefully managed [4]. Currently, there is no method or established protocol for follow-up evaluations during hospitalization for injuries such as penetrating abdominal trauma, and the optimal follow-up protocol remains a topic of debate. However, clinicians must always consider surgical treatment even when NOM is provided [3].

In conclusion, clinicians who do not have experience with these injuries can still provide adequate treatment by selecting a treatment method based on the patient’s condition as well as the
Table 1. AAST Liver Injury Scale (1994 revision).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Injury type</th>
<th>Injury description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Subcapsular &lt;10% surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Capsular tear &lt;1 cm parenchymal depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Haematoma</td>
<td>Subcapsular 10%–50% surface area; intraparenchymal, &lt;10 cm diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>1–3 cm parenchymal depth, &lt;10 cm in length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Subcapsular &gt;50% surface area or expanding; ruptured subcapsular or parenchymal haematoma. Intraparenchymal haematoma &gt;10 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>&gt;3 cm parenchymal depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Parenchymal disruption 25%–75% of hepatic lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Parenchymal disruption involving &gt;75% of hepatic lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>Juxtavenous hepatic injuries i.e retrohepatic vena cava/central major hepatic veins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>Hepatic avulsion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Advance one grade for multiple injuries up to grade III. AAST liver injury scale (1994 revision).

Table 2. WSES grade classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WSES grade</th>
<th>AAST</th>
<th>Hemodynamic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I–II Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>IV–V Stable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Traumatic liver injury, classification, and treatment. (A) American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) liver trauma classification. (B) World Society of Emergency Surgery (WSES) liver trauma classification. (C) WSES liver trauma algorithm. Asterisk indicates angioembolization should be always considered for adults, only in selected patients and in selected centers for pediatrics. Adapted from Coccolini et al. [3], according to the creative commons license. ED, emergency department; E-FAST, extended focused assessment with sonography in trauma; CT, computed tomography; SW, stab wound; NOM, nonoperative management.
mechanism and velocity of trauma. The laparoscopic approach, as a less invasive procedure, may be worthwhile for treating penetratin trauma. Additionally, laparoscopic exploratory laparotomy may be considered in selected cases.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Video S1. Scene of the patient's metal-casting work.
Supplementary materials are available from: https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2021.0085.
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Acute methemoglobinemia after a blast injury: a case report
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Methemoglobin is a structurally modified form of hemoglobin incapable of binding oxygen, and elevated levels of methemoglobin cause tissue hypoxia. Occupational exposure to 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene, commonly called trinitrotoluene, causes methemoglobinemia. This case report describes a 27-year-old male sergeant who developed methemoglobinemia upon exposure to trinitrotoluene after a blast injury while welding the walls of tank shells. This is the first case of its kind in Korea. The patient had multiple burns in his abdomen and open fractures in his right leg. While his body temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, arterial blood pressure, and chest X-ray were normal, arterial gas analysis revealed acute (methemoglobinemia concentration, 13.5%; oxygen saturation, 92.0%), probably caused by nitroglycerin exposure. Aspiration and adsorption through the skin and respiratory system were suspected to be the routes of entry. His methemoglobinemia normalized after 4 days after treating the wounds surgically, administering oxygen therapy, and performing blood transfusion.

Keywords: Methemoglobinemia; Trinitrotoluene; Blast injuries; Case reports

INTRODUCTION

Methemoglobin (MetHb) is a modified form of hemoglobin, in which Fe2+ (ferrous ion) is oxidized to Fe3+ (ferric ion). MetHb cannot bind oxygen; hence, it cannot carry oxygen. 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) is a well-known explosive material. Methemoglobinemia induced by occupational exposure to TNT has been previously reported [1,2]. However, this is the first report describing the occurrence of methemoglobinemia in a man who was exposed to a blast injury while manipulating a TNT bomb in Korea.

This study was done in accordance with the Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects outlined in the Helsinki Declaration in 1975 (revised 2013). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Armed Forces Capital Hospital (No. AFCH-21-IRB-006). The Institutional Review Board waived the requirement for written informed consent.

CASE REPORT

A previously healthy 27-year-old male sergeant was admitted be-
cause of a blast injury during the welding of tank shells. He had multiple burns in his abdomen and open fractures in his right leg (Fig. 1). His body temperature was 36.3°C, heart rate was 80/min, respiratory rate was 20/min, and arterial blood pressure was 159/90 mmHg. His chest X-ray was normal; however, nasal oxygen therapy was applied to deal with possible inhalation burns. His arterial blood was chocolate-colored, and the results of the arterial gas analysis are shown in Table 1. The analysis revealed acute methemoglobinemia. We could not use methylene blue for treatment since it was out of stock. Besides treating the wounds by surgery, we administered oxygen therapy and performed blood transfusion. His methemoglobinemia normalized after 4 days of hospital stay. A glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G-6-PDH) test was performed to identify the genetic cause of methemoglobinemia; the resulting (6.4 U/gHb) was within the normal range. The explosive component of the tank shells was TNT. Therefore, the patient was diagnosed with acute acquired methemoglobinemia caused by nitroglycerin exposure.

DISCUSSION

Acute methemoglobinemia may be caused by genetic factors [3,4]. G-6-PDH deficiency and hemoglobin M disease are genetic factors that lead to methemoglobinemia, but their prevalence in the Korean population is very low [5–8]. However, the prevalence of these conditions in Korea is increasing with the increase in the number of Southeast Asian immigrants. Therefore, it should be considered in the differential diagnosis. Most cases of methemoglobinemia in Korea are due to exposure to various drugs or chemical compounds [9]. The patient in the present case did not have a family history or genetic cause other than his exposure to TNT after the blast injury. TNT is absorbed into the body via the digestive system, skin, or respiratory system [1]. In this case, it is suspected to have been absorbed via aspiration or through the skin. In the present case, the MetHb concentration was 13.5% and the ambient oxygen saturation as measured by pulse oximetry was 92.0%.

When treating patients exposed to explosives in military or industrial settings, methemoglobinemia caused by exposure to nitroglycerin should be suspected. MetHb levels should be measured early through arterial blood gas analysis. Then, the necessary tests for tissue hypoxia should be performed. Patients with methemoglobinemia often show normal pulse oxygen saturation, even when experiencing severe tissue hypoxia; this may be misinterpreted as early hypoxia [10]. Since hypoxia can persist even with intensive oxygen therapy, early ventilator care may be required. If G-6-PDH deficiency is excluded and methemoglobinemia is severe and uncorrected, methylene blue may be used as the first-line treatment if available. However, in cases of trauma, where methylene blue is unavailable, red blood cell transfusion to correct bleeding may be used for treatment for methemoglobinemia. Active correction was required in this case, with repeated MetHb follow-up tests. Regarding this case, we believed that it was important for the Armed Forces Capital Hospital to report this case of poisoning due to an explosion, since this is the first such report in Korea.
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INTRODUCTION

Solitary kidney, which has various causes, is a condition in which a person has a single kidney. Congenital causes include renal agenesis and renal dysplasia [1]. The major acquired cause is unilateral nephrectomy performed for reasons such as traumatic kidney injury, disease (e.g., renal cell carcinoma), and donation for kidney transplantation. According to the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) grade IV or V injury. AAST grade IV refers to parenchymal laceration extending through the renal cortex, medulla, and collecting system, and in the vascular case, it is the main renal artery or vein injury with contained hemorrhage. AAST grade V is a state of completely shattered kidney or avulsion of the renal hilum [4]. According to recent protocols, the initial management of renal trauma depends on hemodynamic status rather than the grade of the injury. For hemodynamically stable patients, conservative management, including expectant management or angiembolization, is recommended [5,6]. According to the European Association of Urology, the World Society of Emergency Surgery (WSES) and AAST, surgical management should be considered for hemodynamically unstable patients [4,7]. Unfortunately, surgical
management for unstable patients usually ends in unilateral nephrectomy [8]. For patients who have bilateral kidneys, this is not a lethal outcome, but for solitary-kidney patients, it can be a critical problem. Once nephrectomy occurs in patients who have a traumatic high-grade renal injury with solitary kidney, the patient must undergo lifelong dialysis or wait for kidney transplantation. Korea suffers from a shortage of organ donors, and long-term dialysis has the risk of various complications and mortality.

In recent studies, superselective embolization for high-grade renal trauma has been reported to show a high therapeutic success rate for hemostasis and a higher likelihood of kidney preservation than operative treatment [9,10]. Herein, we report a case of successful salvation of a solitary kidney in a patient with grade IV renal trauma by two sequential procedures of selective angioembolization and cystoscopic intra-cystic hematoma evacuation. Written informed consent for publication of the research details and clinical images was obtained from the patient.

**CASE REPORT**

A 52-year-old male patient who had lived with a solitary right kidney after donating his left kidney to his father 20 years ago was transferred to a nearby hospital due to right flank pain that occurred after a motorcycle accident. An AAST grade IV right kidney injury was found on abdominal computed tomography (Fig. 1). He was transferred to Gachon University Gil Medical Center for further treatment. When he arrived, his vital signs were as follows: systolic blood pressure, 100 mmHg; diastolic blood pressure, 60 mmHg; heart rate, 73 beats/min; respiratory rate, 15 breaths/min; and body temperature, 36.0°C. His Glasgow Coma Scale score was 15. On an abdominal computed tomography scan taken at a previous hospital, the lower pole of the right kidney was shattered, accompanied by extravasation of contrast (Fig. 1). The upper pole of the right kidney was relatively preserved. After a Foley catheter was inserted, gross hematuria with blood clots was identified. Because the patient presented in a hemodynamically stable condition, we decided to preserve the remaining kidney through selective renal artery embolization. Renal angiography (Fig. 2) demonstrated a pseudoaneurysm with a diameter of 0.7 cm at the anterior superior segmental artery, a pseudoaneurysm with a diameter of 0.1 cm at the anterior inferior segmental artery, and mild irregularity of the subsegmental arteries from the posterior inferior segmental ar-

![Fig. 1](https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2021.0091)
tery. Embolization was performed on the pseudoaneurysm at the anterior superior segmental artery using a microcoil. Small lesions, which were suspicious for pseudoaneurysms, were observed in the anterior inferior segmental artery and the posterior inferior segmental artery, but no additional treatment was performed to preserve the viable kidney portion that would be damaged by embolization of the lesion. After embolization, the patient was transferred to the trauma intensive care unit. In the trauma intensive care unit, gross hematuria was shown. Continuous bladder irrigation (200 mL/hr) was performed. Nevertheless, the Foley catheter was frequently occluded due to clots. On the third day of hospitalization, we consulted an interventional radiologist for renal angiography to check the remnant bleeding focus. Renal angiography showed an arteriovenous fistula in the inferior pole in the right kidney (Fig. 3). Embolization with four microcoils (MicroNester with 2 mm/5 cm [Cook Medical, Bloomington, IN, USA], Concerto with 2 mm/4 cm [Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA], and two Concerto microcoils with 2 mm/8 cm [Medtronic]) was done to the blood vessel. Next, we consulted a urologist for evacuation of the bladder hematoma. Ellik evacuation was done to remove the bladder hematoma. There was no definite active bleeding site in the bladder. After that, there was no definite blood clot and hematuria through the Foley catheter. Clear urine was discharged through the Foley catheter at a rate of 80 to 170 mL/hr. His initial creatinine level was 1.26 mg/dL (glomerular filtration rate by the 2021 Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation, 69 mL/min/1.73 m²) and his creatinine levels were checked daily. The highest creatinine level in his hospital stay was 1.69 mg/dL (glomerular filtration rate, 44 mL/min/1.73 m²) on the third day of hospitalization. On the next day, the creatinine level was 1.61 mg/dL (glomerular filtration rate, 51 mL/min/1.73 m²). There was no definite pulmonary edema on a chest X-ray or pitting edema in the bilateral lower extremities. On the fifth day of hospitalization, the patient was transferred to the general ward, and on the 10th day of hospitalization, the patient was discharged uneventfully.

**DISCUSSION**

We saved a solitary kidney through multiple procedures in a case of high-grade renal trauma, and this experience can be helpful for the management of solitary-kidney trauma. Although the patient had a high-grade kidney injury, we could easily refer the patient to an interventional radiologist for initial therapy due to the

---

**Fig. 2.** Pseudoaneurysm in arteriogram of right kidney. (A) Arteriogram of the right main artery shows a pseudoaneurysm at the anterior superior segmental artery. (B) Embolization was done with a microcoil.

**Fig. 3.** Fistula in arteriogram of right kidney. (A, B) Arteriovenous fistula appears in the inferior pole of the right kidney. (C) Embolization with four microcoils (MicroNester with 2 mm/5 cm [Cook Medical, Bloomington, IN, USA], Concerto with 2 mm/4 cm [Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA], and two Concerto microcoils with 2 mm/8 cm [Medtronic]) was done.
patient's hemodynamic stability. Our hospital is a regional trauma center where an interventional radiologist is available at all times. Renal artery embolization was performed in a superselective manner to preserve the remaining kidney as much as possible. After follow-up for remnant suspicious bleeding foci, embolization was additionally performed through follow-up angiography.

According to the WSES and AAST guidelines, it is recommended to consider superselective embolization in hemodynamically stable or hemodynamically stabilized solitary-kidney patients [4]. Although controversial, some recent studies have suggested that renal artery embolization be performed even in hemodynamically unstable patients [11,12]. In hemodynamically unstable solitary-kidney patients, an option could be to perform renal artery embolization accompanied with sufficient resuscitation and critical care. Even if embolization is not supported or surgery is unavoidable due to delay in embolization, renal salvation procedures such as renorrhaphy or partial nephrectomy should be tried to save the kidney as much as possible. If a salvage operation is performed, insertion of a double-J stent will be helpful for the anticipated postoperative urinary leakage.

As in the case described herein, gross hematuria may cause Foley catheter occlusion, so caution should be taken, and cystoscopic evacuation of bladder hematoma is useful. It is known that the higher the AAST renal injury grade, the lower the remnant renal function, which is also correlated with the findings of dimercaptosuccinic acid renal scans [13]. There are no guidelines on using dimercaptosuccinic acid scans to monitor residual renal function after traumatic kidney injury. Further research could provide details on the relationship between observed remnant kidney function in solitary-kidney patients through dimercaptosuccinic acid and the patient's predicted prognosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Vascular injuries of the extremities can result in limb loss and functional disability [1]. Furthermore, an injury to a main artery is potentially fatal, and a soft tissue defect in a lower extremity with combined skeletal and vascular injuries can be difficult to manage. This case report describes successful reconstruction using an extraanatomic graft for recurrent bleeding in the setting of a postoperative soft tissue infection complicated with skeletal and vascular injuries.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Dankook University Hospital (No. DKUH 2022-06-29). The requirement for informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board.

CASE REPORT

A 59-year-old male patient presented to the emergency department after a tractor rollover accident. His Injury Severity Score was 41 points. He had multiple pelvic bone fractures and a left common femoral artery injury with soft tissue loss. The injured arteries with skin defect were initially managed with endarterectomy and primary repair. However, the sepsis secondary to the infection from a skin defect became uncontrolled. The infected wound developed massive hemorrhage from the repaired arteries. Supportive measures were initiated to achieve hemostasis but unsuccessful. We performed an anastomosis with a prosthetic graft from the common iliac artery to the femoral artery above the knee, avoiding the wound through the lateral side of the anterior superior iliac spine. After revascularization, the patient recovered uneventfully. An extraanatomic graft reconstruction should be considered early when the autologous vein is unsuitable.

Keywords: Femoral artery; Wounds and injury; Prostheses and implants; Infections; Case reports
orrhage, and a left common femoral artery injury with 1 × 5-cm soft tissue loss. Bluish and mottled skin was observed below the left thigh, and pulses were clearly diminished. We performed angiography with embolization, followed by emergency exploratory surgery.

Angiography revealed active bleeding of the left inferior gluteal and pudendal arteries, a cutoff sign for the left common femoral artery. After embolization, we explored the femoral artery and found a thrombus and ruptured atherosclerotic plaque. Thrombectomy through longitudinal arteriotomy (about 2 cm) with a Fogarty catheter was performed in the distal and proximal parts of the vessels, followed by endarterectomy and primary repair. After revascularization, gauze was packed onto the inguinal wound due to bleeding from a fractured acetabulum. We then performed an exploratory laparotomy. Segmental resection and anastomosis of the sigmoid colon, gauze packing, and temporary abdominal closure with a saline bag silo were done. The patient's vital signs were stable on postoperative day 1, but urine output was low. Thus, continuous renal replacement therapy was applied. We closed the abdominal and inguinal wounds 2 days later.

Exudates were observed from the inguinal wound on postadmission day 6; therefore, we irrigated the wound and applied a betadine-soaked dressing. The skin defect size increased to about 20 cm, and the repaired femoral artery was exposed (Fig. 1). In addition, he developed sustained limb edema, and his condition met the severe sepsis criteria according to the 2012 Sepsis Guidelines [2]. On postadmission day 15, the exposed vascular site was checked for integrity, and vacuum-assisted closure as primary therapy in the groin was applied after covering the exposed site with adjacent tissue.

On postadmission day 18, a massive hemorrhage occurred from the repaired femoral artery through the vacuum-assisted closure system. We repaired and reinforced the artery with a relatively fresh fasciocutaneous flap from the adjacent tissue. However, rebleeding was observed 5 days later. After bleeding control, angioplasty with a bovine patch was applied, as the previous arteriotomy edge showed friability and inflammatory changes. However, 5 days later, the sutures were reinforced with pledgets due to bleeding at the patch angioplasty site. Three days after the previous operation, recurrent bleeding resulted from inflammation and infiltration at the patch angioplasty site. Ceftriaxone as an initial empirical antibiotic was administered, a combination of piperacillin and tazobactam (Zosyn; Wyeth, Philadelphia, PA, USA) was then administered as escalation before culture, and subsequently tigecycline for multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, colistin for tigecycline-resistant *A. baumannii*, and vancomycin for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* were consecutively given based on microbiological culture results from the wound. Despite the dressing and appropriate antibiotics based on culture sensitivity, the groin infection was uncontrolled and, according to the depth of involvement (Szilagyi classification) [3], it was defined as a grade III infection with vascular graft involvement. Therefore, we decided to perform the bypass grafting via an extraanatomic pathway.

We created an anastomosis with a ringed polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) graft from the common iliac artery to the femoral artery above the knee on postadmission day 36. The extraanatomic graft (like a lateral femoral bypass) was placed on the lateral side of the anterior superior iliac spine to avoid cross-contamination. The final steps of the operation included local debridement, resection of the infected artery, and oversewing the deep and superficial femoral arteries.

After revascularization, the wound was managed effectively, and the infection was controlled. Vascular computed tomography showed good graft patency (Fig. 2). A split-thickness skin

---

**Fig. 1.** Significant soft tissue defect with an uncontrolled infection. The arrow indicates the left femoral artery.
graft from the right thigh was applied on postadmission day 72, and he was discharged home on postadmission day 94 without any complaints (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The optimal treatment for a patient with multiple trauma including a torso injury is difficult. In these patients, skeletal injuries complicated by vascular injuries are potentially fatal; these cases require a multidisciplinary intervention and can lead to limb loss and mortality if they are not appropriately managed. In general, after stabilization of the critical condition, the surgical procedure is based on reversing ischemia and controlling hemorrhage [4].

As a treatment for ischemia due to vascular injury, primary repair is more feasible for minor vascular injuries, whereas interposition with an autologous venous graft is more appropriate for major injuries [4].

In this case, endarterectomy and thrombectomy via arteriotomy for reversing ischemia were performed because the injured femoral artery was grossly free and soft tissue loss was relatively small. However, despite routine antibiotic prophylaxis and using appropriate antibiotics based on culture sensitivity, severe sepsis occurred. Furthermore, reinforcement and angioplasty for hemorrhage from the repaired artery failed.

In the setting of sepsis, a prosthetic vascular graft is not commonly chosen. For patients requiring a bypass, an autologous graft is more favorable because a prosthetic graft is more susceptible to infection and thrombosis, particularly in patients with sepsis or at high risk of infection [4,5]. In addition, in cases of prosthetic infection after surgery for arterial disease, reconstruction with an autologous vein provides good potential for salvaging limbs and life [6]. Despite the risks above, in our case, a prosthetic graft was used in the setting of femoral sepsis with significant soft tissue loss because the saphenous vein had an insufficient length and diameter for graft reconstruction.

Some alternative methods exist for this condition, such as a sartorius muscle rotation flap on femoral vessels, a rifampicin-soaked graft, or a cryopreserved human allograft [7–9]. Certain studies have reported that the use of a bioactive heparin-treated expanded PTFE graft could provide more favorable outcomes, with a reduced incidence of the above-mentioned
complications such as thrombosis and amputation, making it a potential alternative if an autologous saphenous vein is unavailable [10]. Vascular reconstruction by extraanatomic bypass with a ringed PTFE graft is also favorable for patients with vascular graft infection manifesting in the groin [11]. In this situation, as with inguinal-area infections, obturator bypass would be preferred [12]. Madden et al. [13] showed that lateral femoral bypass can be an alternative approach.

Extraanatomic bypass with a prosthetic vascular graft is technically challenging in the setting of sepsis, but revascularization with a synthetic graft through an extraanatomic route can be successful. Of course, an autologous vein is more favorable for bypass to avoid thrombosis and uncontrolled infection. However, if an autologous vein is unsuitable because of the patient’s medical condition or the length of the vein, interpositioning an extraanatomic graft that avoids the infected surgical site can be considered early.

NOTES

Ethical statements
This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Dankook University Hospital (No. DKUH 2022-06-29). The requirement for informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board.

Conflicts of interest
The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding
None.

Author contributions
Project administration: SWC; Visualization: DSM; Writing—original draft: SWC; Writing—review & editing: all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

A case report of field amputation: the rescue of an entrapped patient through the “doctor car” system

Byungchul Yu, MD, Gil Jae Lee, MD, Min A Lee, MD, Kang Kook Choi, MD, Jihun Gwak, MD, Youngeun Park, MD, Yong-Cheol Yoon, MD, Jayun Cho, MD, Seung Hwan Lee, MD, Jungnam Lee, MD
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INTRODUCTION

Prehospital care of patients who have suffered trauma is provided by emergency medical service (EMS) teams in Korea, but the procedures and the usage of medicine by EMS teams are strictly regulated by the law. Therefore, physicians could be needed on the scene in certain cases. In several studies, the presence of physician-staffed helicopters reduced both the time until contact with a physician and the transport time [1–3]. However, the use of physician-staffed helicopters is limited by the cost of operation and is affected by factors such as weather, time, and place. Physician-staffed ambulances (known as “doctor cars” in Korea) were introduced to overcome the limitations of the helicopter in Incheon, Korea. The doctor car is used only for injured patients. The medical team in a doctor car comprises a trauma surgeon, a nurse, a paramedic, and a driver. Doctor cars are equipped with instruments for surgery (e.g., emergency thoracotomy and amputation), medications, and monitoring devices. We report a case of above-elbow amputation in the field in which a doctor car was used to rescue the patient. Written informed consent for publication of the research details and clinical images was obtained from the patient.

CASE REPORT

The arm of a 29-year-old male patient was stuck in a huge industrial machine. The EMS team that arrived at the scene found that the patient’s arm was almost completely severed above the elbow, and the remaining part of the arm was trapped between the rollers of the machine (Fig. 1). The EMS team called the regional
trauma center and reported that they could not rescue the patient because the machine was too heavy to lift; moreover, they were concerned about a potential massive bleeding after rescue. The patient was alert, and his vital signs were stable; the EMS team found no arterial bleeding. Because the scene was only 17 km away from a regional trauma center and the estimated time of ground transport was approximately 30 minutes, a doctor car, rather than a helicopter, was dispatched by the trauma center to the scene. The trauma team in the car comprised a trauma surgeon, an emergency medicine resident, a trauma nurse, and a driver.

After arrival, the trauma team contacted the patient and confirmed that his vital signs were stable and that he was alert. Tramadol was administered intravenously in the noninjured arm. In the injured arm, the humerus was crushed and severed; only the part of the arm containing the triceps muscle and the brachial vessels and nerve was trapped between the rollers (Fig. 2). The trauma team explained the situation to the patient, and he agreed to undergo immediate amputation. A local anesthetic was administered, the brachial vessel and nerve were clamped and severed, and several minutes later, the part of the arm containing the triceps muscle and remaining skin was divided from the severed part of the arm by a scalpel (Fig. 2). After the procedure, the patient was extricated from the machine. No massive bleeding occurred after amputation, and the trauma team applied compression dressing. The patient was brought to the trauma center in a doctor car; his vital signs remained stable, and he was cooperative during transportation.

Upon arrival at the trauma center, the patient's blood pressure was 198/96 mmHg, and his pulse rate was 101 beats/min. After the imaging workup, the patient was brought to the operating room for bleeding control and debridement (Fig. 3). The skin was repaired in a second operation 2 days later. His recovery was uneventful, and he was transferred to the rehabilitation ward.

**DISCUSSION**

Several reports about successful field amputation and the problems present during physician involvement in rescues have been published [4]. Physicians' discomfort with fieldwork, poor communications, hierarchy structure, media interaction, and crowd or rescue personnel control are considered problems in at-the-scene amputation [4]. Therefore, a protocol for traumatic amputation is necessary to avoid confusion. However, because of differences in medical practice and situations in different countries, establishing a universal protocol and case scenarios is difficult.

Kampen et al. [5] reported several field amputations: half of the amputations were performed by trauma surgeons, a third by emergency physicians, and the rest by paramedics or other medical professionals. In Korea, paramedics are never allowed to perform any surgical procedures. Because emergency physicians may lack surgical training, experienced trauma surgeons may be the physicians best qualified to perform field amputation in Korea. In circumstances in which airway management, anesthesia, and resuscitation are required, emergency physicians and nurses are the staff best qualified to perform those tasks. Therefore, in situations involving field amputation, the dispatch team should include a trauma surgeon, an emergency physician (or another trauma surgeon), and a trauma nurse.

In 1992, Foil et al. [6] discussed informed consent for field amputation. If the patient is conscious, the situation should be explained, and the risks, benefits, and alternatives should be de-
scribed; then, with the patient’s consent, the amputation can be performed. If the patient is unconscious and cannot communicate, and if the patient cannot be extricated or otherwise rescued without further trauma, the procedure can be performed with the consent of two physicians. The patient in this report was conscious and immediately agreed to amputation after the trauma surgeon explained the situation; thus, no legal problem regarding the procedure arose.

In this case, equipment such as Gigli saws and bone saws were not needed, but such equipment should be available for field amputation. In addition, opiates and benzodiazepine could not be used because no appropriate protocol for bringing such medications to the field existed. Regulations for prehospital patient care and for the management of opiates should be revised so that doctor cars can be equipped with anesthetics, opiates, and even blood products as medications in the future.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of field amputation in Korea. The procedures that physicians perform at the scene of trauma can save lives. An appropriate protocol concerning trauma team members, equipment, medication, and informed consent for the procedure should be established.

NOTES

Ethical statements
Written informed consent for publication of the research details and clinical images was obtained from the patient.

Conflicts of interest
Gil Jae Lee is the Editor-in-Chief and Min A Lee is the associate
editor of *Journal of Trauma and Injury* but were not involved in the peer reviewer selection, evaluation, or decision process of this article. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

**Funding**
None.

**Author contributions**
Conceptualization: all authors; Methodology: GJL, MAL, YCY, SHL; Project administration: JL; Visualization: YCY, KKC, SHL; Writing–original draft: BY; Writing–review & editing: BY, JL, GJL, MAL, JC, YP, JG.
All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**REFERENCES**

Mortality from hepatic injury has declined over the last several decades for various reasons, including nonoperative management, such as angioembolization, in more than 80% of cases. Conversely, surgical treatment is preferred in intrahepatic portal vein injury due to several reasons. Here, we report a case that treatment of blunt traumatic liver injury accompanied by intrahepatic portal vein injury through portal vein embolization. A 29-year-old female patient was transferred to our trauma center for vehicular accident injuries. Contrast-enhanced abdominal computed tomography showed a massive hemoperitoneum and liver laceration (grade IV) with contrast extravasation suspected of the right portal vein branch but no other organ injury. Since vital signs were stable, we decided to perform nonsurgical radiologic intervention. Portography showed active bleeding of the posterior branch of the right portal vein. A pseudoaneurysm in the portal vein was embolized through percutaneous transhepatic portal vein puncture. On follow-up liver dynamic computed tomography performed 2 days after embolization, the posterior branch of the right portal vein was sufficiently embolized, and no liver parenchymal necrosis was observed. The patient was discharged without any complications 2 weeks later. This report suggests portal vein embolization as a good alternative treatment method for portal vein injury in patients with stable vital signs.
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INTRODUCTION

The liver is the most frequently injured abdominal organ following abdominal trauma. Fortunately, mortality from hepatic injury has declined over the past several decades for various reasons, including the evolution to the current approach of nonoperative management of hepatic trauma in more than 80% of cases [1]. Conversely, surgical treatment is preferred in intrahepatic portal vein injury due to several reasons. This report describes the nonsurgical treatment of a case involving blunt traumatic liver injury accompanied by intrahepatic portal vein injury through portal vein embolization (PVE) and establishes the characteristics of in-
trahepatic portal vein injury and indications for PVE. The patient provided informed consent for publication of the research details and clinical images.

**CASE REPORT**

A 29-year-old female patient with no medical history was transferred to the Trauma Center of Kyungpook National University Hospital for injuries sustained after a passenger car accident. Her initial blood pressure was 115/81 mmHg and heart rate was 112 beats/min. Hemoglobin and platelet count was 14.3 g/dL and 190,000 /µL, respectively. Contrast-enhanced abdominal computed tomography showed a massive hemoperitoneum and liver laceration (grade IV) with contrast extravasation suspected of the right portal vein branch, but no other organ injury (Fig. 1).

Since the patient’s vital signs were stable, we decided to perform nonsurgical radiologic intervention rather than surgery. The celiac artery angiography did not show active bleeding of the hepatic artery branch, but portography following superior mesenteric artery angiography showed a pseudoaneurysm in the posterior branch of the right portal vein (Fig. 2A). An angiography sheath was inserted through the P5 portal vein branch by percutaneous transhepatic approach to access the proximal portion rather than the injured portal vein branch, and portography showed a pseudoaneurysm at the proximal portion in posterior branch of right portal vein (Fig. 2B, C). The pseudoaneurysm was embolized using a mixture of glue (Histoacryl; B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany) and lipiodol (Lipiodol Ultra-Fluid; Guerbet, Villepinte, France). Portography performed after the procedure no longer showed active bleeding (Fig. 2D).

On follow-up liver dynamic computed tomography performed 1 month after embolization, intraabdominal hematoma was decreased, the posterior branch of the right portal vein was sufficiently embolized, and no bleeding sign or liver parenchymal ne-

---

**Fig. 1.** Contrast-enhanced computed tomography of (A) axial and (B) coronal view, showing a massive hemoperitoneum and liver laceration (grade IV) with extravasation suspected of the right portal vein branch (arrows).

**Fig. 2.** Portography showed (A) active bleeding of posterior branch of right portal vein (arrow) and (B) pseudoaneurysm at proximal portion in posterior branch of right portal vein (arrow). (C) Portography performed after selection of injured portal vein branch. (D) The pseudoaneurysm was embolized and no longer showed active bleeding sign in portography (arrow).
crosis was observed (Fig. 3). Additionally, the patient's aspartate aminotransferase/alanine aminotransferase were 599/550 U/L in the initial laboratory test, with the highest measurements obtained the next day at 857/802 U/L. The levels gradually decreased to 35/125 U/L after a week. The patient was discharged without any complications 2 weeks after PVE.

**DISCUSSION**

The liver is the most commonly injured abdominal organ following blunt abdominal trauma. However, mortality from hepatic injury has declined over the last several decades. Richardson et al. [2] proposed that the reasons for the decreased mortality from hepatic trauma over the past 25 years are improved results with gauze packing and reoperation, use of arteriography and embolization, advanced operative techniques for major hepatic injuries, and decrease in the surgical treatment of hepatic venous injuries [1,2]. Additionally, the current approach to hepatic trauma has evolved to nonoperative management in more than 80% of cases [1]. Recently, a more extensive series of angiography for control of hepatic hemorrhage has been reported with increasing success, with the identification and control of bleeding rates ranging from 68% to 87%. Therefore, angiography and embolization or stenting are considered to be very useful adjunctive techniques in stable patients and are being managed nonoperatively [3].

Hepatic arterial injury from blunt hepatic trauma is a common cause of massive hemorrhage, but significant bleeding from the portal venous system is rare because the portal venous pressure is usually low. In addition, portal vein injuries are generally associated with severe injuries affecting adjacent organs that often require surgical treatment, such as gauze packing, vessel ligation, and venorrhaphy [4–6]. As such, angioembolization enables nonoperative management of arterial bleeding in blunt traumatic liver injury, but portal vein injury suitable to performing PVE is very rare. PVE is a preoperative treatment commonly performed to increase the volume of the remaining liver in patients undergoing major liver resection. It is a safe and effective method for inducing selective hepatic hypertrophy of the non-diseased portion of the liver and may thereby reduce morbidity and shorten hospital stays after resection [7].

In our patient, we decided to perform nonsurgical radiologic intervention because her vital signs were stable. If we decided to operate regardless, damage-control surgery, including gauze packing and reoperation, would have been performed and would have led to several postoperative complications, such as prolonged use of the mechanical ventilator, prolonged hospital stay, and even poor prognosis. Additionally, conservative treatment without intervention in this patient who with grade IV liver laceration accompanied by capsule injury, massive hemoperitoneum and active bleeding in the portal vein could worsen the condition even led to death.

According to our experience, several conditions are necessary in performing PVE in patients with intrahepatic portal vein injury. First, the most important condition is stable vital signs. Next, the patient must be cleared of any other organ injuries requiring surgery. Furthermore, unlike elective PVE, the intervention for trauma patients is urgent. An experienced radiologist must be

![Fig. 3. Follow-up liver dynamic computed tomography of (A) axial and (B) coronal view performed 1 month after embolization. Posterior branch of the right portal vein was sufficiently embolized (arrows).](image-url)
present for accurate identification of trauma lesions and determination of the portal vein puncture site. Anatomic variants of the portal vein are uncommon but, when present, are important to recognize because they may have profound implications for PVE [7]. Finally, the surgical team should be prepared to perform emergency surgery immediately if the procedure fails.

In summary, our report demonstrates that PVE could be a good alternative treatment for portal vein injury in patients with stable vital signs.
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INTRODUCTION

Inhalation injuries, which can develop as a result of blast injuries, are very harmful because they cause airway obstruction, pneumonia, and acute lung injury [1]. Inhalation injuries consist of three subtypes: upper airway injuries caused by thermal heat, lower airway and lung parenchymal injuries caused by chemical irritants, and metabolic asphyxia caused by carbon monoxide and cyanide [2]. It is important for physicians to suspect inhalation injuries in cases of blast injuries; then, early management, including intubation or aerosol therapy, to prevent airway obstruction should be performed. Herein, we would like to present the case of a patient who had been injured by an antipersonnel landmine, and we want to share how we suspected and treated the inhalation injury of this patient starting in the trauma bay with early intubation and acetylcysteine/heparin aerosol therapy.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Armed Forces Capital Hospital (No. 2022-01-003) and was in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The patient provid-
ed written informed consent for publication of the research details and clinical images.

CASE REPORT

A previously healthy 39-year-old male sergeant was transferred to the trauma bay in Armed Forces Trauma Center due to a landmine injury. He was confirmed to have an amputation at the left distal tibia joint and left thumb tip due to a landmine blast injury (Fig. 1A, B). His thigh was tightened with a tourniquet by prehospital medical staff. The initial arterial blood pressure was 181/112 mmHg, the heart rate was 106 beats/min, the respiratory rate was 16 breaths/min, and the body temperature was 36°C. He had multiple burn injuries, especially on the left arm, bilateral legs, and face. Bilateral subconjunctival hemorrhage was confirmed (Fig. 1C). His incisor was slightly sooty. The bilateral lung sounds were clear, and the initial chest X-ray was normal. The initial arterial blood gas analysis confirmed no hypoxia or hypercapnia, with a lactate level of 1.1 mmol/L. He was intubated with video laryngoscopy for irritability and an emergent orthopedic operation was performed. Then, whole-body computed tomography was performed, and no specific injury was confirmed in the brain, chest, and abdomen. After the emergent operation was prepared, he was transferred to the operation room from the trauma bay. Because the amputated segment was not revivable and the distal tibia joint was very contaminated, the orthopedic surgeon decided to proceed with below-knee amputation and dress the amputation site and burn wound with a vacuum-assisted device. The thumb tip amputation was repaired primarily after massive irrigation and debridement. After an emergent operation, he was transferred to the intensive care unit. The day after surgery, follow-up bronchoscopy confirmed abrasions along the upper airway from the oral cavity to the upper trachea (Fig. 2), and a chest X-ray revealed increased opacity in the right lower lung field (Fig. 3). We then started heparin with acetylcysteine aerosol therapy, suspecting an inhalation burn. As *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were confirmed in tracheal culture, levofloxacin and piperacillin/tazobactam were ad-

![Fig. 1. Multiple trauma wounds after landmine explosion. (A) Amputated left leg and (B) left thumb tip. (C) Bilateral subconjunctival hemorrhage due to a landmine blast injury. The patient provided written informed consent for publication of the research details and clinical images.](https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2022.0005)
Inhalation burn injuries are well-known to be quaternary blast injuries [3]. In this case, the patient’s leg was amputated as the primary injury, and he had burn injuries at multiple sites as quaternary injuries. We should suspect an inhalation injury if a patient has respiratory symptoms such as stridor, wheezing, difficulty breathing, smoke debris or burn around the face, and a sooty nose, especially, in situations related to fires. Inhalation injuries are classified into three subtypes according to the anatomic site: the upper airway, the lower airway and lung parenchyme, and metabolic asphyxiation [1]. Upper airway injuries are usually caused by thermal burns from heat transfer, lower airway and lung parenchymal injuries develop from chemical and particulate irritants, and metabolic asphyxia is due to carbon monoxide and cyanide. In this case, with confirmation of the injury in the larynx and upper airway, we suspected that the cause of the inhalation injury was a thermal burn from heat transfer. We did not consider antidotes for metabolic asphyxiation in this patient because the injury occurred in an open space where cyanide is usually not problematic [4].

Inhalation injury can lead to the development of severe airway obstruction. A steam or chemical injury from the supraglottic area to the tracheobronchial tree and lung cause constriction due to the inflammatory cascade. The most important aspect of treating inhalation injuries in trauma bay is airway management. Eckert et al. [5] reported that inhalation injury led to progressive airway symptoms within 12 hours and that the majority of symptoms occurred between 6 and 12 hours after injury. He and his colleagues argued that physicians should be ready for emergent airway management for at least 18 hours, even in blast injury patients with limited severity. To prevent progression of the ob-

DISCUSSION

Inhalation burn injuries are well-known to be quaternary blast injuries. On the third hospital day, extubation was performed and follow-up laryngoscopy showed mild laryngeal edema and an oral cavity burn injury. During intensive care, as delirium and deep vein thrombosis developed, antipsychotics and low-molecular-weight heparin were administered. On the 19th hospital day, he was transferred to the general ward for active rehabilitation without any respiratory complications.
struction, we can consider some medications such as aerosolized heparin, epinephrine, N-acetylcysteine, or beta-2 agonists [6]. In the present case, we used aerosolized heparin/N-acetylcysteine, including heparin 10,000 IU, which is known for its safety and absence of an effect on coagulation parameters [7].

In this case, as we intubated the patient immediately after he entered the trauma bay, he had no sequelae related to the airway. However, secondary airway and pulmonary injuries can occur up to 12 hours after a blast injury [5]. An inhalation injury is a predisposing factor for pneumonia, and the combination of an inhalation injury and pneumonia increases mortality [8]. Therefore, a regular culture study is important to choose the proper antibiotics, and we obtained a specimen from a bronchoscopic exam. Since the two most common bacteria in inhalation injury-related pneumonia are Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, as in this case [9], we recommend antibiotics that can cover Gram-negative strains (e.g., piperacillin/tazobactam).

Landmines are classified into antitank mines and antipersonnel mines, and injuries due to antipersonnel mines are divided into two patterns, according to whether they are caused by blast mines or fragmentation mines [10]. Blast mines usually have small explosions that only damage the lower extremity. Fragmentation mines are relatively less explosive, but cause damage at longer distances than blast mines through multiple fragmentation. We could not confirm the type of landmine in the present case; however, the injury resembled the blast mine amputation pattern. We thought the reason why secondary and tertiary injuries were not clearly observable was the amputation caused by the blast mine injury. However, there was a report that ocular injuries could occur even with a blast mine pattern [11]. This implies that an inhalation injury could also occur. In this case, we found not only a lower extremity injury, but also bilateral subconjunctival hemorrhage, which implied that the blast wave reached the level of the face. Therefore, we also must check the condition of the nose or oral cavity and suspect smoke inhalation in landmine blasts. In Korea, it is known that there are many landmines around the demilitarized zone. We may encounter victims of landmine-related injuries in the near future, and we should always be prepared for inhalation injuries caused by landmine blasts, as in this case.
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Detection of pharyngeal perforation during fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing in a person with cervical spinal cord injury in the intensive care unit: a case report
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Endotracheal tube insertion and mechanical ventilation are indicated in spinal cord injury patients; however, dysphagia can occur after extubation. The resultant complications of dysphagia may increase the length of hospital stay and mortality. Therefore, dysphagia should be evaluated after extubation. This case report introduces a rare case of finding pharyngeal perforation during the fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) in patients with cervical spine injuries in the intensive care unit. A 71-year-old male patient met with a road traffic accident. The patient underwent C3–4 posterior fusion and C3–4 anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. After successful extubation, the patient underwent FEES to assess swallowing function. During FEES, the metallic plate was found to be exposed through mucosal erosion, and swelling was observed at the surgical site at the hypopharynx. The nasogastric tube was removed to prevent secondary damage and infection at the operation site and the patient received conservative therapy. The FEES endoscope machine is portable so it can be easily applied at the bedside to intensive care unit patients. In addition, FEES allows the identification of anatomical abnormalities of the oropharynx and abnormalities of vocal cord. Thus, it is recommended to do FEES to check anatomical abnormalities as well as dysphagia in patients in the intensive care unit.
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INTRODUCTION

Patients with severe trauma are prone to respiratory failure, requiring endotracheal tube insertion and mechanical ventilation. After extubation, however, swallowing dysfunction, also known as dysphagia, can occur frequently. The occurrence of postextubation dysphagia (PED) among patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) is relatively common, and the frequency is reported to be about 93% [1].

Among patients with trauma, those with cervical spinal cord...
injury (CSCI) are at a higher risk of dysphagia. A study reported that 16% of CSCI patients suffer from dysphagia during their first feeding, and the level of tetraplegia, reduction of the value of the lung volume and the subglottic pressure resulting from tracheostomy or thorax trauma, and the duration of ventilation are all significant factors in the development of dysphagia [2].

Complications of dysphagia include aspiration which has the potential to cause pneumonia, mechanical bronchial obstruction, transient hypoxemia, bronchospasm, and atelectasis. In addition, these complications may increase the length of hospital stay, delay the rehabilitation process, and increase mortality [3,4].

Therefore, after successful extubation, an evaluation of PED should be performed in the early stage of the traumatic CSCI. Both video fluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) and fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) are gold standard techniques for the assessment of dysphagia. Because FEES offers the advantages of better accessibility and direct observation of the laryngeal anatomy, it is preferred for the evaluation of patients in the ICU. Herein, we report a case demonstrating the importance of FEES after extubation in CSCI patients. What makes our case report special is that it is the first study reporting that found perforation of hypopharynx in the FEES. The patient provided written informed consent for publication of the research details and clinical images.

CASE REPORT

A 71-year-old male patient met with a road traffic accident and complained of inability to move all four limbs. On arrival, the patient was alert. On the initial physical examination, the key muscle power was found to be grade 1 out of 5 for C5, grade 0 out of 5 from C6 to C8, grade 1 out of 5 from L2 to L3, grade 0 out of 5 from L4 to L5, and grade 1 out of 5 for S1. Voluntary anal contraction was absent. Hypoesthesia was noted below the C4 dermatome, but no abnormalities were noted in the deep anal pressure, anal sensation, anal reflex, and bulbocavernous reflex. The neurological level of injury was at C4, tetraplegia with an American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale grade of C. Nomaactive deep tendon reflexes were present in all four limbs. The patient’s cranial nerves were intact.

Cervical spine computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans revealed C3/4 dislocation, prevertebral hematoma at the C2–5 level, and spinal cord contusion at the C3–4 level (Fig. 1). The patient underwent C3–4 posterior fusion and C3–4 anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF), was intubated and on mechanical ventilation due to the patient’s poor respiratory function.

The patient was referred to the Department of Rehabilitation immediately after surgery, where the patient was made to un-
dergo a pulmonary rehabilitation program in the intubated state, including mechanical insufflation-exsufflation, assisted coughing, postural drainage for effective sputum discharge, and air-stacking exercises for lung expansion. Owing to early pulmonary rehabilitation and intensive physical training for improved vital capacity and coughing ability, the patient’s breathing pattern stabilized, and the amount of sputum decreased. The ventilator weaning was successful. The peak expiratory flow value through endotracheal tube was measured at 68 L/min. Having considered the cutoff value of peak expiratory flow for successful extubation as 60 L/min [5], we decided to attempt an extubation. However, a dental aspiration was found in the trachea on computed tomography neck on postoperative day (POD) 5. It soon moved to a peripheral bronchus, making it difficult to remove and delaying extubation. Nonetheless, the tooth was removed by bronchoscopy after a second attempt at POD 11, followed by extubation. The total period of intubation was 11 days.

A nasogastric (NG) tube had been inserted the day the patient first visited the hospital to release the gas from stomach. The ileus improved, and through NG tube, the patient started tubal feeding. In the dysphagia screening test conducted by bedside to proceed to an oral feeding, gargling voice, foul-smelling breath during exhalation, and stridor were found. Therefore, we performed FEES to investigate any anatomical abnormalities and vocal cord function on POD 15.

During FEES, it was observed that the cervical metal plate used during ACDF to provide neck stability was found to be exposed through mucosal erosion, and swelling was observed at the ACDF surgical site at the pharynx (Fig. 2). Given the risk of infection, the NG tube was removed, and the patient was nourished with total parenteral nutrition. On POD 23, a follow-up FEES revealed that the exposed area still existed. A literature review in 1990 suggested that perforations less than 2 cm be managed conservatively [6]. Accordingly, since the exposed area was smaller than 2 cm and no signs of infection were found, the patient received conservative therapy, including meropenem, a broad-spectrum antibiotic.

An NG tube could have worsened the mucosal injury. Therefore, on POD 36, the patient underwent a percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy procedure. While feeding through the percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy, the patient’s general condition improved. Five months after the accident, the patient was discharged. Presently, the patient is still feeding through percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy. We have been conducting periodic assessments of pharyngeal perforation and dysphagia.

DISCUSSION

Spine surgeries with an anterior neck approach, such as ACDF,
are commonly performed and are relatively safe surgical procedures. However, the anterior neck region contains various muscles and nerves such as the recurrent laryngeal nerve, superior laryngeal nerve, sympathetic trunk, and spinal accessory nerve, as well as structures such as the thyroid gland, trachea, and esophagus. Due to the complexity of the anterior neck region, complications may occur. In a series of over 850 cases of anterior or spine surgery, Cloward [7] reported a wide range of operative complications, most of them being minor and self-limiting. They can be classified into three categories: soft tissue lesions, spinal cord or root injuries, and problems related to spinal stabilization [8].

When soft tissue lesions due to spine surgery are detected, neurosurgical consultation should be obtained to assess the status of fixation and the need for revision, and removal of inciting hardware with vascularized reconstruction with a local pedicled flap. Conservative management such as drainage, broad-spectrum antibiotics, and extraoral feeding is a reasonable option if the patient is in a condition that cannot be operated on, or if the lesion is small and stable.

Major injury or surgery induces a hypermetabolic, catabolic state in which, if not supported by exogenous substrates, excessive skeletal muscle proteolysis occurs, followed by depletion of crucial visceral and circulating proteins. Therefore, early nutritional support benefits high-risk surgical patients [9]. There are many advantages of early enteral feeding in the ICU, such as lower incidence of infection, reduced length of hospital stay [10], immunocompetence, and improved wound healing. Compared to total parenteral nutrition, nutrients delivered through enteral feeding (oral or tube feeding) are better utilized by the gut. In addition, total enteral feeding prevents gastrointestinal mucosal atrophy, attenuates the injury-stress response, maintains immunocompetence, causes lesser metabolic imbalance, and preserves normal gut flora [9]. Until now, surgeons have been reluctant to initiate early enteral feeding in CSCI patients because of concerns of ileus and other complications. However, studies have found that feeding these patients within the first 72 hours is safe [11].

However, NG tube can cause various complications: gastrointestinal (diarrhea, constipation, nausea, and vomiting), tube-related (nasal ulcers, tube clogging, and tube dislodgement), respiratory (pulmonary aspiration), metabolic (hyperglycemia, hyper or dehydration, electrolytic alterations), and so on [12]. Therefore, it is necessary to examine whether an oral diet is possible and start an oral diet at an early stage of the disease.

There are various screening tools available for dysphagia, including Gugging swallowing screen, 3-oz water test, and citric acid swallowing test in ICU. However, a gold standard test is warranted in patients at a high risk of dysphagia, such as those with CSCI. These gold standard tests include VFSS and FEES.

In patient in this report, mechanical and medical factors such as the ACDF, hematoma formation, injury to the pharynx, and pressure injury due to prolonged placement of the endotracheal tube and NG tube, could be the cause of pharyngeal perforation. A review of the patient’s postoperative cervical spine X-ray (lateral view) revealed thinning of the prevertebral soft tissue (Fig. 3). Pharyngeal perforation often does not show any obvious symptoms; we were able to incidentally detect the mucosal defect through FEES, and further determine the direction of treatment and method of feeding.

FEES involves passing a fiberoptic laryngoscope trans nasally to visualize the hypopharynx, larynx, and proximal trachea for the purpose of assessing dysphagia. FEES has the disadvantage that discomfort can be induced because the fiberoptic laryngoscope enters through the patient’s nose. Therefore, it is difficult to do FEES to patients who do not cooperate. In addition, it is difficult to observe the oral and upper pharyngeal phases of swallowing.

On the other hand, FEES has several advantages over VFSS. FEES is more reliable in assessing penetration than VFSS and is equally effective in discriminating between penetration and aspiration [13]. FEES allows one to observe the anatomical structure of the oropharynx and vocal cord directly. Therefore, it is possible to check for the presence of vocal cord palsy with phonation. Moreover, patients in the ICU are often difficult to shift out due to the numerous monitoring devices, the patient’s poor condition, and the risk of orthostatic hypotension in patients with early SCI. VFSS is possible only when the patient can be brought to the laboratory. In contrast, the endoscope equipment used for FEES has the advantage of being portable and bedside. Therefore, FEES can be a useful test in patients admitted to the ICU with restricted mobility.

The FEES endoscope machine is portable so it can be easily applied at the bedside to patients with suspected PED in the ICU. In addition, FEES allows the identification of anatomical abnormalities of the oropharynx occurring after surgery and abnormalities of vocal cord movement that may occur after extubation. Thus, it is recommended that traumatic CSCI patients with suspected PED be evaluated through FEES.
Fig. 3. Cervical spine lateral X-ray on (A) postoperative day (POD) 1 after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion surgery and (B) POD 7. The prevertebral soft tissue appears thinned in front of the surgical site (yellow arrows). (C) Horizontal view of computed tomography neck on POD 22. The cervical metal plate used during anterior cervical discectomy and fusion to provide neck stability was found to be exposed to the hypopharynx (red arrow).
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Repair of traumatic flank hernia with mesh strip suture: a case report

Shin Ae Lee, MD, Ye Rim Chang, MD

Department of Surgery, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

INTRODUCTION

Flank hernias occurring after blunt abdominal trauma are rare. Approximately 500 cases have been reported in the literature [1–18]. In 2009, the European Hernia Society divided lateral hernia into four zones: L1 (subcostal), L2 (flank), L3 (iliac), and L4 (lumbar) [19]. When the hernia is located lateral to the rectal sheath in the area 3 cm above and below the umbilicus, it is defined as flank hernia [19], which is further divided into primary (spontaneous) and secondary (trauma or surgery), with an incidence of 55% for primary and 25% for secondary flank hernia [20].

Traumatic flank hernia repair has been reported only in case series; thus, surgical techniques or long-term outcomes have not been established. Kearney et al. [6] reported that primary repair of traumatic flank hernia using mesh strips provides high tension directly to the hernia defect site and has a low recurrence rate due to force distribution at the suture site. In addition, compared to conventional hernia repair, the range of tissue dissection for repair using mesh strips is not wide, so the risk of damage to surrounding structures is low [6]. Moreover, mesh strip technique can be performed easily and quickly.

In this article, we report a case of a male patient who presented with traumatic flank hernia 3 years postinjury, which was successfully repaired using mesh strips. This is the first reported case of traumatic flank hernia repair using the mesh strip technique in Asia.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (No. 2201-130-1294). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images.
CASE REPORT

A male patient with a history of intrahepatic duct stone surgery was transported to a regional trauma center after he collided with a 15-t truck while driving. His mental status was Glasgow Coma Scale 15, and he complained of severe abdominal pain. The patient was afebrile with a blood pressure of 70/40 mmHg, pulse rate of 68/min, and respiratory rate of 26/min at arrival. Focused assessment of sonography for trauma revealed a large amount of intraabdominal fluid. Shortly, the patient developed severe hypotension, which was unresponsive to resuscitation. Zone 1 resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta was performed, and emergency laparotomy was decided.

A large amount of hematoma due to massive bleeding from the mesenteric avulsion site and transected vessels was observed after crash laparotomy. Bleeders were controlled, and infarcted small bowel (160 cm length) due to mesenteric avulsion (30 cm length, two sites) was resected. Perforated sigmoid colon was also transected. After 2 days, small bowel anastomosis, S-colon anastomosis, and transverse colostomy were performed during second-look laparotomy.

Other accompanying injuries (left humerus fracture, multiple rib and sternum fractures, both feet fractures, and multiple transverse spine fractures) were also treated. Two months later, colostomy repair was performed, and the patient was discharged 4 months after trauma.

Three years after injury, the patient newly developed left flank bulging and discomfort (Fig. 1). Computed tomography revealed abdominal wall defect and bowel herniation sized 5.6 × 11.6 cm above the iliac crest without signs of bowel incarceration (Fig. 2). Repair of traumatic flank hernia with mesh strip suture was planned.

The patient was placed in a right lateral decubitus position. A beanbag was placed under the patient, and negative pressure was applied to fix the posture (Fig. 3). The bed was bent to extend the space between the iliac crest and the costal margin. The incision was made in the midportion of the abdominal wall defect. The skin and subcutaneous tissue were dissected until the external oblique muscle was identified. The transversus abdominis muscle edge of the hernia defect was identified to complete the exposure of the defect. After confirming that the peritoneum was intact, monofilament polypropylene mesh (Bard Davol, Warwick, RI, USA) was cut into strips with a width of 2 cm. The end of each mesh was triangulated for easy pull-through. The transversus abdominis muscle was pierced with a sharp hemostat approximately 2 to 3 cm from the newly debrided edge of the transverse spinal injury.
verse abdominis muscle. The mesh strip was then pulled through the muscle and tied like a suture after making the operating table flat. Eight mesh strips were applied with even distance (Figs. 4, 5). After placing the Jackson-Pratt drain over the repaired transversus abdominis muscle, the internal and external oblique muscles were repaired with 1-0 polydioxanone suture. Another Jackson-Pratt drain was placed over the external oblique muscle, and the subcutaneous tissue and skin were closed with 2-0 nylon.

No evidence of fever and surgical site infection was noted postoperatively. On the 8th postoperative day, the Jackson-Pratt drain was drained to less than 10 mL daily and removed, and the patient was discharged. Computed tomography taken 4 months postoperatively confirmed that the surgical site was intact without recurrence (Fig. 6). No recurrence was observed 12 months postoperatively (Fig. 7). The patient confirmed of having no surgical site discomfort, pain, or foreign body sensation while performing daily activities.

**DISCUSSION**

Traumatic flank hernia is a rare hernia, and surgical approach is difficult due to the surrounding bony structures and major neurovascular structures. Conventional methods of flank hernia repair include open, laparoscopic, and robotic approaches or a combination of these approaches. Regarding the repair technique, primary repair or planar mesh reinforcement is usually performed.

It is recommended that sufficient flap dissection be achieved as wide mesh overlap is imperative. The planar mesh should be covered 5 to 10 cm wider than the size of the hernia defect in both open and laparoscopic approach [4,10–13,15]. For mesh repair

![Fig. 2. Preoperative abdominal computed tomography of (A) axial and (B) coronal view show a 5.6×11.6-cm sized left abdominal wall defect above the iliac crest.](https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2022.0026)

![Fig. 3. Preoperative patient position. (A, B) Right lateral decubitus position of the patient with the iliac crest at the proper flexion point. The operating table was bent for flank extension.](https://doi.org/10.20408/jti.2022.0026)
using the traditional open technique, the mesh should be anchored to the surrounding muscles or bony prominence, and there is a risk of bleeding or nerve injury [4,10–12,15].

In the laparoscopic approach, the rate of surgical site infection or recurrence is lower than that in open repair [4]. However, as the laparoscopic approach requires mobilization of the bowel for mesh fixation, there might be a risk of organ injury [4]. Some studies have suggested that the laparoscopic approach to repair flank hernia should be limited to smaller defects [13–15], although data on the mean hernia defect size are limited.

The most important outcome in hernia repair is the recurrence rate. Table 1 summarizes 18 case series regarding lumbar hernia (including flank hernia) repair targeting a total of 526 patients reported in the literature [1–18]. An open approach was performed in 13 studies, a laparoscopic approach in three studies, a combination of both approaches in one study, and a laparoscopic or robotic approach in one study. The overall recurrence rate of this cohort was 6.1% (0%–13.3%). The causes of lumbar (or flank) hernia recurrence included insufficient mesh overlap or fixation and mesh implantation failure due to infection [10,15,18]. Common complications that occur after hernia repair included seroma, hematoma, and infection [2,7,8,12,13,15,16,18]. Others in-
cluded skin dehiscence, necrosis, chronic pain, and postoperative anemia due to underlying disease or bleeding during surgery [3–5,11,12,14]. Among them, chronic pain was reported in 0% to 42% of the cases [4,5,11,12,14]. The definition of chronic pain is not clear; therefore, it might have been difficult to differentiate between chronic and postoperative pain [4]. Some studies did not assess chronic pain, which might be a reason for the wide range of prevalence of chronic pain in the cases [2,6,7,10,13,15,18].

Using the experimental pig model, a study introduced the mesh sutured repair in 2014 and compared it with standard primary suture [21]. That study demonstrated that mesh suture has higher resistance and tensile strength for pull-through than standard primary suture. According to another report on 107 mesh sutured repairs of abdominal wall defects published in 2016 [22], the recurrence rate (early mean follow-up time of 234 days) was <4%, and the incidence of surgical site occurrence (infection, seroma, hematoma, reoperation, delayed wound healing) was 17%. Another study published in 2020 reported that among four patients with traumatic flank hernia who underwent mesh strip repair [6], there were no complications such as surgical site infec-

Fig. 6. Postoperative computed tomography scan of (A) axial and (B) coronal view after 4 months show an intact hernia repair site without recurrence or complications.

Fig. 7. Images of (A) anterior and (B) lateral view demonstrating intact surgical wound site 6 months postoperatively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Surgical technique</th>
<th>Repair technique</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Mean defect size (cm²)</th>
<th>Mean FU (mo)</th>
<th>Recurrence (%)</th>
<th>Complication (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bender et al. [2]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Varied</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards et al. [4]</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>Mesh</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fei et al. [5]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Extended sublay, mesh</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fei et al. [5]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Routine sublay, mesh</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luc et al. [7]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Retromuscular, IPOM</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>NC⁹</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel et al. [10]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Varied</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen et al. [11]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Sublay, mesh</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pezeshk et al. [12]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Varied</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips et al. [13]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Retromuscular, mesh</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purnell et al. [14]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Varied, mesh</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>NC⁹</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veyrie et al. [15]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Retromuscular, mesh</td>
<td>61a</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zieren et al. [18]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Retromuscular, onlay, mesh</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NC⁹</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukherjee et al. [8]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Mesh</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novitsky et al. [9]</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>Mesh</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>NC⁵</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaral et al. [1]</td>
<td>Combining laparoscopy and open</td>
<td>Laparoscopic IPOM and open onlay, mesh</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>NC⁹</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavalli et al. [3]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Retromuscular, mesh</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al. [17]</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>TAPE, mesh</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NC⁶</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wijerathne et al. [16]</td>
<td>Laparoscopy, robot</td>
<td>Varied, mesh</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kearney et al. [6]</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Mesh strip</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FU, follow-up; NC, not calculated; IPOM, intraperitoneal onlay mesh; TAPE, transabdominal partially extraperitoneal.

⁹Mean width, 8.9±11.7 cm.

¹A total of 61 lumbar hernia patients (subcostal hernia, 14 patients; flank hernia, 14 patients; iliocostal hernia, 11 patients; lumbar hernia, 3 patients).

²Mean width, 11.1 cm.

³A total 61 lateral incisional hernia (subcostal hernia, 14 patients; flank hernia, 12 patients; iliocostal hernia, 35 patients).

⁴Mean size, 15×11 cm.

⁵Mean width, 6×9 cm.

⁶Mean width, 6.4±2.8 cm.

⁷Mean width, 5.8±2.1 cm.

There were two reasons for selecting mesh strips sutured repair for the present case. First, due to the large size of the hernia defect, extensive tissue dissection would have been required for traditional mesh application, which might have increased the risk of postoperative complications. The hernia was located at the L2–L3 boundary according to classification, and it was difficult to secure a sufficient operative field on the inferior border for planar mesh repair. Second, the tension of the surrounding tissue was anticipated to be weak compared to other types of flank hernias. As the patient had very high energy in-car traffic accident, seatbelt might have damaged the fascia and muscles. The injured tissues may have been stretched due to long-standing exposure of intraabdominal pressure and may have progressed to an abdominal wall defect. Since the tension of surrounding tissue was anticipated to be weak, the magnified foreign body response, which is an advantage of the mesh strip suture, would be helpful. Therefore, sutured repair using mesh strips was selected so as to increase the tensile strength of the transversus abdominis muscle with less surrounding tissue dissection.

In conclusion, when it is difficult to secure a sufficient operative field for mesh anchoring in a traumatic flank hernia, a technique of sutured repair with mesh strips may be considered as a treatment option, given that this technique requires less dissection, thereby reducing the risk of injuries and ischemic necrosis of the surrounding tissue, compared to the conventional planar mesh repair. However, this technique has a limitation that it cannot be applied when there is tissue loss or edges of the hernia cannot be approximated. Further studies are warranted to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the mesh strips suture in traumatic flank hernia repair.
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