Gastric necrosis after gastric artery embolization in a patient with blunt abdominal trauma: a case report
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INTRODUCTION
Gastric arterial bleeding due to blunt trauma is uncommon [1]. However, if diagnosis or treatment is delayed, the bleeding can progress to a massive hemorrhage and cause death. Recently, angiographic embolization (AE) has been widely used for treatment of either intraabdominal hemorrhage that occurs spontaneously or is caused by trauma [2]. In particular, AE of solid organs or upper gastrointestinal bleeding above the Treitz ligament has been performed [3]. Although gastric artery embolization (GAE) is relatively safe, it is not without complications. We describe a case of patient with blunt abdominal trauma and stomach necrosis after left GAE.

CASE REPORT
A 55-year-old male patient with no specific medical history other than hypertension who was a truck driver was transferred to the trauma center of Pusan National University Hospital after a traffic accident with another truck. His initial vital signs were unstable, with systolic blood pressure of 60 mmHg and a Glasgow Coma Scale score of 13. Shortly after injection of 500 mL of plasma solution and 1 unit of packed red blood cells transfusion during resuscitation, his vital signs improved, and computed tomography (CT) was performed for evaluation. On contrast-enhanced abdominal CT, contrast extravasation in the hepatogastric ligament and an intramural hematoma of the stomach were observed (Fig. 1). Additionally, tiny subdural hematoma, forehead laceration, and right femur shaft fracture were accompanied; the Injury Severity Score was 27. His vital signs remained stable, and GAE was performed for hemorrhage control (Fig. 2). The patient's mental status improved, and he was alert. Follow-up abdominal CT was performed after 1 week without the patient experiencing any abdominal symptoms. On CT, the bleeding was
well controlled, and embolic material of the surrounding stomach wall was observed (Fig. 3). Intramural hematoma remained, and enhancement of gastric mucosa was reduced, so endoscopy was performed. The endoscopy showed gastric mucosal necrosis in two places (Fig. 4). We decided to perform surgical treatment. The necrotic lesions were observed grossly in the surgical field, a wedge resection of stomach while visually checking mucosa and serosa and manual repair was performed. The patient was subsequently discharged after he was able to eat without complications related to surgery.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Pusan National University Hospital (No. 2209-004-118). The Institutional Review Board waived the requirement to obtain informed consent.

**DISCUSSION**

Compared with solid organ injuries, gastric arterial bleeding due

---

**Fig. 1.** Initial abdominal computed tomography showing contrast extravasation in the hepatogastric ligament (arrow) and intramural hematoma of the stomach (asterisk).

**Fig. 2.** Angiography was performed. (A) Celiac trunk angiography showing anatomy of the left gastric artery (arrow). (B) Contrast blush was observed in the left gastric artery (asterisk).

**Fig. 3.** Follow-up computed tomography still showing embolic material of the surrounding stomach wall (arrow) and the remaining intramural hematoma (asterisk).
to blunt abdominal trauma is rare. This bleeding is usually diagnosed by abdominal CT or during surgery [4]. Since intraabdominal hemorrhage is noncompressible, delayed bleeding control can lead to massive bleeding, which can be fatal [1].

Recently, for nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding above the Treitz ligament, AE has become the preferred treatment and is performed as frequently as endoscopic hemostasis [3]. In gastric arterial bleeding other than that associated with solid organ injury in patients with abdominal trauma, GAE can be considered prior to surgical treatment if patient’s vital signs are stable [5]. Of course, if the vital signs are unstable, surgical treatment should be performed first.

GAE can be performed relatively safely because of the anatomical characteristic of abundant blood flow around the stomach [6]. Therefore, stomach necrosis is uncommon even with GAE, so the procedure is relatively safe [7]. However, complications, such as bleeding, perforation, necrosis, vascular damage, and side effects of contrast agents, may occur [3]. In the case of trauma, especially blunt trauma, collateral blood vessels may be simultaneously damaged, which may increase the likelihood of developing stomach necrosis. Therefore, more caution is needed in patients with trauma than in those experiencing spontaneous or ulcerative bleeding. This additional caution requires that necrosis or delayed perforation be suspected and checked. A continuous physical examination is important, and follow-up CT can be performed if necessary. Even knowing that complications can occur, they are often overlooked if there are no symptoms or specific findings in the physical examination and laboratory tests. In this case, the patient had no abnormal findings on abdominal examination and laboratory tests, but mucosal injury was suspected on the initial CT, so follow-up CT and endoscopy were performed. Fortunately, his stomach necrosis was found, and surgical treatment was possible. Although necrosis can be due to direct trauma, in this case, necrosis was observed in two different places, and considering the surrounding embolic material on CT images, we judged the necrosis to have been caused by the previous embolization. As this case illustrates, in cases of partial necrosis of the stomach, there may be no abnormal findings, such as patient symptoms or in laboratory tests, so endoscopic evaluation might be required. If stomach necrosis is detected, surgical treatment should be considered, as in our case.

GAE is considered less invasive than surgical treatment and safe, so it is widely used for bleeding control [8]. However, the possibility of complications should always be kept in mind and if there is even the slightest suspicion, an active evaluation is strongly recommended.
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