Clinical Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Traumatic Shock Patients from the Korean Society of Traumatology
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Purpose: Despite recent developments in the management of trauma patients in South Korea, a standardized system and guideline for trauma treatment are absent.

Methods: Five guidelines were assessed using the Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation II instrument.

Results: Restrictive volume replacement must be used for patients experiencing shock from trauma until hemostasis is achieved (1B). The target systolic pressure for fluid resuscitation should be 80–90 mmHg in hypovolemic shock patients (1C). For patients with head trauma, the target pressure for fluid resuscitation should be 100–110 mmHg (2C). Isotonic crystalloid fluid is recommended for initially treating traumatic hypovolemic shock patients (1A). Hypothermia should be prevented in patients with severe trauma, and if hypothermia occurs, the body temperature should be increased without delay (1B). Acidemia must be corrected with an appropriate means of treatment for hypovolemic trauma patients (1B). When a large amount of transfusion is required for trauma patients in hypovolemic shock, a massive transfusion protocol (MTP) should be used (1B). The decision to implement MTP should be made based on hemodynamic status and initial responses to fluid resuscitation, not only the patient’s initial condition (1B). The ratio of plasma to red blood cell concentration should be at least 1:2 for trauma patients requiring massive transfusion (1B). When a trauma patient is in life-threatening hypovolemic shock, vasopressors can be administered in addition to fluids and blood products (1B). Early administration of tranexamic acid is recommended in trauma patients who are actively bleeding or at high risk of hemorrhage (1B). For hypovolemic patients with coagulopathy non-responsive to primary therapy, the use of fibrinogen concentrate, cryoprecipitate, or recombinant factor VIIa can be considered (2C).

Conclusions: This research presents Korea’s first clinical practice guideline for patients with traumatic shock. This guideline will be revised with updated research every 5 years.
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INTRODUCTION

Trauma is the third leading cause of death among all ages, and it is the leading cause of death in people under the age of 45 in Korea [1]. The preventable death rate in Korea is significantly higher than in well-established nations with major trauma centers. To overcome this problem, the Korean government has been implementing a trauma center model since 2012, and 17 trauma centers have been established and funded across the nation. Despite recent developments in managing trauma patients in South Korea, a standardized system and guideline for trauma treatment are absent.

A major trauma patient is defined as a severely injured patient with associated injuries from trauma with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than 15. Uncontrolled bleeding is the leading cause of potentially preventable death [2,3]. Massive bleeding causes acidosis and coagulopathy, leading to multiple organ failure and death [4-8]. Time from transport to the surgery bed and efficient treatment plans play a key role in reducing preventable deaths and improving prognosis. Studies have provided evidence that early surgery in patients with hemorrhagic shock may lower mortality [9,10].

Clinical practice guidelines are systematically developed to help physicians and patients to make decisions in specialized circumstances. The need for such medical guidelines has risen concomitantly with improvements in the quality of medical care in the past 20 years. Medical guidelines efficiently provide treatment options. This is particularly true in cases of polytrauma, where multiple medical specialties are involved, meaning that medical guidelines can help avoid inefficient, dangerous, and time-consuming procedures. This approach allows a diverse pool of physicians from different medical specialties to communicate using a common and agreed-upon framework of rules for treating patients, which acts as a compass for appropriate treatments. It would be appropriate for South Korea to adapt established guidelines for its specific needs and infrastructure in order to reach the level of competence of more experienced trauma systems around the globe.

The goal of this study was to create the basis of a clinical practice guideline to be used as the backbone for other surgeons to inspect and suggest improved guidelines for trauma patients. Here, we would like to provide guidelines for the initial treatment of traumatic shock patients.

METHODS

Developing the medical guideline

Multiple published guidelines from foreign countries were compiled, selected, and adapted to the circumstances of the Korean healthcare system. The compiled data on recommendations were either used in the guideline as is, or the international clinical guidelines were adapted through a systematic process. For some aspects of treatment, decisions were made to incorporate new material that is relevant to trauma. Although the treatment guidelines were selected through a hybrid method of adapting extant guidelines and developing new guidelines, the core questions of the guidelines consist of questions adapted from other medical trauma guidelines. The development process of the guideline consisted of the following steps: the selection of developers of the trauma guideline, the step-by-step tasks of the adaptation and development process, and a review of the grading system for the strength of recommendations for specific treatments.

Selection and composition of the trauma guideline

To create a standardized treatment guideline for trauma, a committee board was selected. The board members were recommended by the Korean Society of Traumatology for the following roles: one research methodology expert and a group of board members for planning, developing, researching, adapting, sorting, reviewing and overall decision-making throughout the whole process. The research methodology expert served as an advisory board member for overseeing the development process and consulting regarding the dissemination and execution of the plans. The committee of 17 experts in their fields was instructed to plan, develop, and collect feedback on a draft of the guideline. This consisted of choosing the appropriate core questions and keywords, selecting and adapting which guidelines to use, and writing the first draft by recommending and summarizing information from other sources (Table 1). During the development of the guide-
line, 19 advisory members were selected by the chief surgeon of Korea’s trauma centers and by the Korean Society of Traumatology for review and further advisory input.

Adapting a standardized treatment guideline for trauma
To develop the trauma guideline, the committee members initially discussed the scope of material that the guideline should cover by considering treatment plans ranging from the time of the patient’s arrival to diagnosis and discharge. The core questions were then written through the PICO process. Due to the nature of trauma patients, satisfactory questions for the intervention and comparison components of the PICO process could not be identified, which stimulated a discussion among the committee members to develop core questions. After these decisions, the first draft of the core questions was finalized, and the working committee examined the questions and wrote the second draft. This second draft was then re-examined by the core question committee and revised to generate the final draft. In the final draft, it was concluded by both the development and working committee that the PICO process could not be implemented as part of the final guideline.

Searching medical guidelines
Because published guidelines were not present in Korean databases, other databases such as Ovid-MEDLINE, Ovid-EMBASE, and the National Guideline Clearinghouse were used to search for medical guidelines. The words, “trauma, severe trauma, initial management, guideline, resuscitation, hypovolemic shock, initial management” were selected as search terms for finding medical guidelines. The results were reviewed by the development committee and evaluated by the working committee.

Writing the initial draft for advisory input on the level of evidence and grade of recommendation
The working committee summarized the evidence regarding the core questions and evaluated the relevance and applicability of treatment in the Korean medical setting. The answer to each core question was summarized using published guidelines, and the committee members evaluated whether new research had been done after the guideline’s publication date. After the working committee had answered and evaluated each core question, the development committee approved the final decision. After the decision, the working committee investigated the level of evidence and grade of recommendation and wrote the first draft.

The level of evidence and grade of recommendation were used in a modified version of the GRADE process. Although the core questions can be divided into four categories of level of evidence—“high, A,” “moderate,

Table 1. Results of the quality assessment of previous guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>AGREE II score</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAST trauma practice management guidelines</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW adult trauma clinical practice guidelines</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA CPR &amp; ECC guidelines</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3–guideline on treatment of patients with severe and multiple injuries</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICE</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No recommendation: AGREE II <50.


Table 2. Strength of recommendations and quality of evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of recommendation</th>
<th>Strong recommendation</th>
<th>Weak recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality of evidence</th>
<th>High-quality evidence</th>
<th>Moderate-quality evidence</th>
<th>(Very) low-quality evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No recommendation: AGREE II <50.
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B,” “low, C,” and “very low, D”—in this guideline, only the first three were designated for use when writing the guideline. The grade of recommendation in the GRADE process can be divided into four categories based on the two dichotomies of “for and against” and “strong and weak.” However, this guideline does not contain the “against” category, and instead only classifies the “for” category into “strong” and “weak” (Table 2). The words “recommend” and “advice” were used to describe strong recommendations, whereas words like “propose,” “con-

Table 3. Scores from the Delphi technique (Likert scale 1-9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key question</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Does maintaining a low target blood pressure during initial fluid resuscitation (I) improve the prognosis (O) of trauma-induced hypovolemic shock patients (P)?</td>
<td>A. Restrictive volume replacement must be used for patients experiencing shock from trauma until hemostasis is achieved (1B).</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. The target systolic pressure for fluid resuscitation should be 80–90 mmHg in hypovolemic shock patients (1C).</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. For patients with head trauma, the target systolic pressure for fluid resuscitation should be 100–110 mmHg in hypovolemic shock patients (2C).</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Which type of fluid (I) is appropriate for the initial treatment (O) of traumatic hypovolemic shock patients (P)?</td>
<td>A. Isotonic crystalloid fluid is recommended for initially treating traumatic hypovolemic shock patients (1A).</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Will raising the temperature of a hypothermic patient (I) improve the survival outcomes (O) of trauma patients with hypovolemic shock (P)?</td>
<td>A. Hypothermia should be prevented in patients with severe trauma, and if hypothermia occurs, the body temperature should be increased without delay (1B).</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. What is the appropriate treatment for acidemia (I) in trauma patients with hypovolemic shock (P)?</td>
<td>A. Acidemia must be corrected with an appropriate means of treatment for hypovolemic trauma patients (1B).</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. What is the MTP (I) in trauma patients with hypovolemic shock (P)?</td>
<td>A. When a large amount of transfusion is required for trauma patients in hypovolemic shock, a massive transfusion protocol should be used (1B).</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. The decision to implement MTP should be made based on hemodynamic status and initial responses to fluid resuscitation, not only the patient’s initial condition (1B).</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. What ratio of concentrated red blood cells to fresh frozen plasma should be used for massive transfusion (I) in traumatic hypovolemic shock patients (P)?</td>
<td>A. The ratio of plasma to red blood cell concentration should be at least 1:2 for trauma patients requiring massive transfusion (1B).</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. What are the criteria for administering vasopressors (I) in trauma patients with hypovolemic shock (P)?</td>
<td>A. When a trauma patient is in life-threatening hypovolemic shock, vasopressors can be administered in addition to fluids and blood products (1B).</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Does the administration of tranexamic acid (I) help hemostasis (O) in trauma patients with hypovolemic shock (P)?</td>
<td>A. Early administration of tranexamic acid is recommended in trauma patients who are actively bleeding or at high risk of hemorrhage (1B).</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Other than blood products (C), what other therapies (I) can be used in hypovolemic shock patients with traumatic coagulopathy (P)?</td>
<td>A. For traumatic hypovolemic patients with coagulopathy non-responsive to primary therapeutic measures, the use of fibrinogen concentrate, cryoprecipitate, or recombinant factor VIIa can be considered (2C).</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation, MTP: massive transfusion protocol.
sider,” and “can be used” were applied to describe weak recommendations.

**Reviewing recommendations and producing a final proposal**
The draft recommendations prepared by the working committee were reviewed by the development committee to identify when the content of each recommendation overlapped, and, if necessary, to combine or modify the draft. Based on the revised recommendations, the final recommendations were confirmed after collecting opinions from trauma experts, experts from the Korean Society of Traumatology, and research method experts using the Delphi technique (Table 3).

**RESULTS**

**Does maintaining a low target blood pressure during initial fluid resuscitation improve the prognosis of trauma-induced hypovolemic shock patients?**

**Recommendations**
A. Restrictive volume replacement must be used for patients experiencing shock from trauma until hemostasis is achieved (1B).
B. The target systolic pressure for fluid resuscitation should be 80–90 mmHg in hypovolemic shock patients (1C).
C. For patients with head trauma, the target systolic pressure for fluid resuscitation should be 100–110 mmHg in hypovolemic shock patients (2C).

**Evidence summary**
Traditionally, maintaining a normal blood pressure range through fluid resuscitation was thought to allow the maintenance of blood flow to vital organs. However, a few studies have reported that in the initial stages of resuscitation, maintaining a lower blood pressure (permissive hypotension) through restrictive volume replacement led to an improved prognosis for trauma patients. The problem here is that the evidence is limited and restricted to studies done before hemostatic resuscitation was used. To further understand the efficiency of permissive hypotension, a guideline must be assembled from further studies. In the NICE guideline, two key studies were reviewed [11,12]. Bickell’s study was limited to penetrative injuries, whereas Dutton reported on both penetrative and blunt trauma injuries. In Bickell’s randomized prospective study of 598 patients, the group received prehospital permissive hypotension showed a significant difference in 30-day mortality and multiorgan failure rate, however the length of stay in the intensive care unit (ICU) did not differ between groups. In Dutton et al. [12] study with 110 randomized prospective patients, permissive hypotension did not significantly affect the 24-hour and 30-day death rates. In a combined analysis of the 708 patients in the two studies, permissive hypotension significantly decreased the rates of 24-hour mortality and 30-day mortality, but did not affect the length of stay in the ICU unit. In another randomized prospective study, 90 patients with shock and penetrative injuries were treated with two different target mean arterial pressures, 50 mmHg and 65 mmHg, and the latter group showed higher rates of coagulation problems and higher 24-hour and 30-day death rates.

However, in a meta-study of 1,957 patients in multiple papers, restrictive volume replacement did not change the survival rate outcome [13]. Furthermore, permissive hypotension is contraindicated in patients with spinal or brain injuries due to the need to maintain adequate blood and oxygen flow in the central nervous system; for such patients, the treatment must focus on hemostasis efforts and stopping hemorrhage. For geriatric and high blood pressure patients, permissive hypotension treatment plans must be thoroughly considered. It was determined that the clinical practice guideline was acceptable and applicable in South Korea.

**Which type of fluid is appropriate for the initial treatment of traumatic hypovolemic shock patients?**

**Recommendation**
A. Isotonic crystalloid fluid is recommended for initially treating traumatic hypovolemic shock patients (1A).

**Evidence summary**
Although fluid replacement is used to maintain blood perfusion in tissue, there is no consensus on which type
of fluid should be used. Accordingly, a prospective study compared the ratio of administered red blood cell (RBC) products and crystalloid fluid [14], and a randomized controlled trial (RCT) compared the properties and effects of different types of crystalloid fluids in a group of trauma patients [15]. A higher ratio of administered crystalloid fluid and RBC products was correlated with a significantly higher rate of multiple organ failure (odds ratio [OR], 2.6; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.2–5.4; \( p=0.011 \)) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS; OR, 2.5; 95% CI, 1.2–4.9; \( p=0.010 \)). In another study, compared to Plasma-Lyte A, 0.9% saline showed more favorable results in terms of base deficit and magnesium levels, but did not yield a significant difference in the mortality rate and length of stay in the ICU. A 2013 meta-study cohort study concluded that colloid fluid resuscitation did not lower the mortality rate compared to crystalloid fluids, whereas hydroxyethyl starch increased the mortality rate [16]. In summary, colloid fluid is not only more expensive, but most importantly, does not improve the survival outcome of trauma patients and should not be used for fluid resuscitation.

It was determined that the recommendations on the type of fluid used for initial fluid resuscitation in the European guideline were acceptable and applicable in South Korea. However, the present guideline did not reflect the NICE guidelines’ suggestion to restrict initial fluid administration and administer blood because the evidence is weak and emergency rooms in Korea are ill-equipped for emergency transfusions.

**Will raising the temperature of a hypothermic patient improve the survival outcomes of trauma patients with hypovolemic shock?**

**Recommendation**

A. Hypothermia should be prevented in patients with severe trauma, and if hypothermia occurs, the body temperature should be increased without delay (1B).

**Evidence summary**

A body temperature less than 35°C is correlated with platelet and coagulation dysfunction in severe trauma patients, causing a higher complication and death rate [17-19]. In an analysis of the Pennsylvania Trauma Outcome Study, which contained 11,033 severe brain trauma patients, it was reported that low body temperature in the hospital caused an increase in the death rate [20]. To prevent hypothermia, it is recommended to remove the clothes of the patient and cover their body, increase the surrounding temperature, administer warm liquids, and (if severe) use an in vitro reheating apparatus [21,22].

It was determined that this clinical practice guideline was acceptable and applicable in South Korea. It is important to note that in South Korea, the importance of preventing hypothermia is often overlooked in the initial treatment of severe trauma patients, underscoring the need for an updated treatment guideline. Since there are no established guidelines for the treatment of hypothermia in traumatic head injury patients, no such guidelines were included.

What is the appropriate treatment for acidemia in trauma patients with hypovolemic shock?

**Recommendation**

A. Acidemia must be corrected with an appropriate means of treatment for hypovolemic trauma patients (1B).

**Evidence summary**

The main cause of acidemia is the reduction of perfusion, which has a harmful effect on coagulation [23]. Low ventilation and excessive administration of NaCl must be avoided [24]. Additionally, base excess is known to cause coagulation complications, resulting in a higher probability of complications and an increased death rate [23]. The threshold for base excess starts at -6 to -10, and at a base excess of -15, multiple coagulation factors are known to be reduced to half [25,26]. Raising the pH above 7.2 alone cannot improve coagulation factors, and it is meaningful to stop bleeding and replenish the coagulation factors [27]. Acidosis can become severe even when only a large number of RBCs are transfused [28], which reduces thrombin formation and promotes fibrinolysis [29]. It was determined that the clinical practice guideline was acceptable and applicable in South Korea.
What is the massive transfusion protocol (MTP) in trauma patients with hypovolemic shock?

Recommendations
A. When a large amount of transfusion is required for trauma patients in hypovolemic shock, a massive transfusion protocol (MTP) should be used (1B).

B. The decision to implement MTP should be made based on hemodynamic status and initial responses to fluid resuscitation, not only the patient’s initial condition (1B).

Evidence summary
To determine the use of MTP, the NICE guideline utilized nine retrospective studies comparing clinical risk scores, ABC scores, TASH scores, PWH scores, McLaughlin scores, emergency transfusion scores, the shock index, ATLS, and categories of shock. However, much is left to be desired in the study, as the proportion of missing data was very high in many studies, and the confidence interval was wide. One meta-analysis was conducted with ABC scores, but the evidence level was not significantly high. In the studies of Brockamp et al. [30], Cotton et al. [31,32], Krumrei et al. [33], and Mitra et al. [34,35], ABC scores, systolic blood pressure, the presence of a penetration injury, blood pressure lower than 90 mmHg and heart rate over 120 beats per minute at the arrival of the emergency ward, and FAST were measured to determine the use of MTP. In the Brockamp et al. [30] study, each indicator was compared by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) and determining a cut-off point. Although in a meta-analysis, the ABC score yielded a sensitivity of 72% and specificity of 88%, the level of evidence leaves much to be desired [30-35]. Additionally, the methodology and evidence of other papers are questionable: Larson’s test method was a single test, McLaughlin’s paper was based on only 3 months of analysis, and the Prince of Wales/ Rainer study also had low-quality evidence. In a study with 536 participants that analyzed the Revised Trauma Score, an AUC of 0.64 (0.59–0.69) was obtained [36]. Schreiber also showed a low level of evidence, and reported that three other papers using TASH scores had very low levels of evidence [30,37]. In the study of Dente et al. [38], it was reported that the patient group treated with MTP, in a comparison with a group that received massive transfusion without a protocol, showed a higher survival rate and a lower rate of coagulation complications. It was determined that the clinical practice guideline was acceptable and applicable in South Korea.

What ratio of concentrated red blood cells to fresh frozen plasma should be used for massive transfusion in traumatic hypovolemic shock patients?

Recommendation
A. The ratio of plasma to red blood cell concentration should be at least 1:2 for trauma patients requiring massive transfusion (1B).

Evidence summary
In 680 trauma patients, the PROPPR study compared the effects of administering different ratios of fresh frozen plasma, platelets, and blood concentrations of 1:1:1 to 1:1:2 in a randomized controlled experiment [39]. The group that received a 1:1:1 ratio was reported to show significantly lower 24-hour and 30-day mortality rates. Although the risk of complications was not significantly higher in the 1:1:2 group than in the 1:1:1 group, the percentage of successful control of hemorrhage was significantly higher in the 1:1:1 group. Based on this study, the NICE guideline recommends using a 1:1:1 ratio. Although the European guideline reports that multiple studies had conflicting results and were affected by survival bias, the consensus is that a 1:1:1 ratio is appropriate and that the results of the PROPPR study are valid. However, unlike its US counterpart, the European guideline recommends a RBC to fresh frozen plasma ratio of 1:2 because some countries have difficulties in preparing platelets on time in the initial stages of MTP [40-45]. Furthermore, the European guideline considers correcting coagulopathy by administering fresh frozen plasma. As the Korean medical infrastructure lacks the preparation to dispatch platelets in a timely fashion in the early stages of resuscitation, it was determined that the European guideline would be more acceptable and applicable.
What are the criteria for administering vasopressors in trauma patients with hypovolemic shock?

Recommendation
A. When a trauma patient is in life-threatening hypovolemic shock, vasopressors can be administered in addition to fluids and blood products (1B).

Evidence summary
When hypovolemia persists, vasopressors can be temporarily used to improve tissue perfusion. Not only do vasopressors help perfusion to the brain by maintaining the average arterial blood pressure in cases of severe head trauma, but it has also been reported in animal experiments that when homeostasis is not established, administering norepinephrine can help reduce the need for administering fluids and improve survival outcomes [45,46]. However, a limited amount of studies have investigated the effects of vasopressors on clinical trauma patients; furthermore, two such studies were retrospective studies with multiple limitations and low evidence levels [47]. In a clinical double-blinded controlled study of trauma patients with hypovolemic shock, administering low amounts of vasopressin during resuscitation resulted in a significantly lower need for administering fluid, but failed to improve survival outcomes significantly [48]. In conclusion, the use of vasopressors can help maintain tissue perfusion during fluid and blood transfusion for hypovolemic shock patients. However, it is important to note that the use of vasopressors for patients with cardiovascular problems must be carefully monitored due to the increased afterload of the heart. It was determined that the clinical practice guideline was acceptable and applicable in South Korea.

Does the administration of tranexamic acid help hemostasis in trauma patients with hypovolemic shock?

Recommendation
A. Early administration of tranexamic acid is recommended in trauma patients who are actively bleeding or at high risk of hemorrhage (1B).

Evidence summary
A 2015 Cochrane Review meta-analysis included three RCT studies: one about the effects of aprotinin, one about the effects of tranexamic acid in traumatic brain injury patients, and lastly the CRASH-2 trial [49-52]. The first RCT mentioned was excluded from consideration due to the small scale of the research. According to the CRASH-2 trial, patients injected with tranexamic acid within 1 hour had a lower mortality rate from hemorrhage (198 of 3,747 [5.3%] in the tranexamic acid group vs. 286 of 3,704 [7.7%] in the placebo group; relative risk, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.57–0.82; p<0.0001). For patients injected with tranexamic acid within 3 hours, the mortality rate from hemorrhage was also reduced (147 of 3,037 [4.8%] vs. 184 of 2,996 [6.1%]; relative risk: 0.79; 95% CI, 0.64–0.97; p=0.03). However, the group that was given tranexamic acid after 3 hours showed a higher mortality rate (144 of 3,272 [4.4%] vs. 103 of 3,362 [3.1%; relative risk, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.12–1.84; p=0.004) [51]. A 2015 meta-study reported that administering tranexamic acid as soon possible reduced the mortality rate of trauma patients. However, the report also reported that after 3 hours, administering tranexamic acid instead increased mortality rates. Furthermore, it was shown that for brain hemorrhage trauma patients, the effects of tranexamic acid are not conclusive, and the study reported that two relevant studies were underway [52]. Currently, in South Korea, tranexamic acid is routinely used. However, Korean medical law forbids the use of tranexamic acid during patient transportation unless a doctor is on-site. Therefore, the administration of tranexamic acid during transportation is not recommended.

Other than blood products, what other therapies can be used in hypovolemic shock patients with traumatic coagulopathy?

Recommendation
A. For traumatic hypovolemic patients with coagulopathy non-responsive to primary therapeutic measures, the use
of fibrinogen concentrate, cryoprecipitate, or recombinant factor VIIa can be considered (2C).

Evidence summary
Fibrinogen is the last step in the coagulation cascade, acting as a ligand for platelet aggregation and factor activation [53,54]. Hypofibrinogenemia is a common finding in patients with massive hemorrhage. Low levels of fibrinogen in an acute hemorrhagic setting are correlated to a need for higher transfusion volume and mortality. In a prospective single-center study, patients with massive hemorrhage who were treated with fibrinogen concentrates showed a lower mortality rate and a higher 30-day survival rate [55]. However, there has been no further investigation through prospective trials or RCTs. Not only does desmopressin promote platelet adhesion to the vascular intima, but it is also the first-line treatment for bleeding in Von Willebrand disease patients. Additionally, desmopressin has been observed to improve the function of platelets in patients taking aspirin and clopidogrel. However, there has been no investigation of its use in trauma or brain injury patients.

Although European guidelines indicate the use of desmopressin for the treatment of hemorrhagic patients with Von Willebrand disease or for those who are taking antiplatelet agents, using desmopressin is contraindicated for hemorrhagic trauma patients. For those in whom treatment with other strategies fails and hemorrhage continues, recombinant factor VIIa can be considered. Serum levels of fibrinogen and platelets need to be stable within a given range for recombinant factor VIIa to be effective [56-58].

Since the function of coagulation enzymes can change in response to very slight differences in body temperature and pH, body temperature and pH levels must be controlled before administration [18,59,60]. Although various studies have stated that the use of coagulation enzymes is beneficial in traumatic hemorrhage, there have been no significant large-scale investigations. In a double-blind RCT investigating the use of recombinant factor VIIa for blunt trauma, use the blood factor proved to decrease transfusion volume and the incidence of ARDS. However, the results failed to show statistical significance for penetration injuries [61].

Although fibrinogen concentrate and cryoprecipitate can be used in South Korea, recombinant factor VIIa is costly and not covered by the Korean national insurance policy. It must be used with caution.

CONCLUSION
This research was done to develop Korea’s first clinical treatment guideline for trauma. Trauma-related specialists were the backbone for writing the recommendations by adapting multiple published guidelines in ways specific to Korea’s medical infrastructure. The clinical treatment guideline for trauma will be revised with updated research every 5 years.
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